# Use of ICDAS-II, Visual, Radiography, Activity Assessments in Dental Caries Treatment Decision



#### Manarte-Monteiro P.<sup>1</sup>, Teixeira L.<sup>1</sup>, Cascão M.<sup>1</sup>, Gavinha S.<sup>1</sup>, Manso M.C.<sup>2</sup> patmon@ufp.edu.pt

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health Sciences, University Fernando Pessoa, Portugal <sup>2</sup> Faculty of Health Sciences, University Fernando Pessoa, & CIAGEB-UFP, Porto, Portugal & REQUIMTE, University of Porto, Portugal

#### Introduction

Of several methods used today for caries diagnosis, few studies report the using of visual/radiographic examination and caries activity assessment in treatment decision-making.

## **Objectives**

To calculate caries prevalence (caries activity, visual (ICDAS-II)/bitewing examinations) and caries treatment decision-making.

**Material and Methods** 

Observational, cross-sectional trial, approved by Ethics Committee. Random sample: 45 individuals attended voluntarily FHS-UFP dentistry appointments (Set. 2013 - Mar. 2014). Caries activity (Table 1), ICDAS-II visual and bitewing radiographs (collect from files) examinations (Table 2) were performed by five examiners trained/calibrated (ICC=0.970) to detect mesial/distal/oclusal carious lesions in 900 posterior teeth (2700 surfaces). Descriptive statistical analysis/inference by caries activity (active/inactive) and ICDAS (visual/bitewing examinations) for prevalence. Visual/bitewing records compared by Z-test ( $\alpha$ =0.05) and prevalence for treatment (Figure 1) decision (surgical/non-Surgical treatments and/or therapeutic monitoring-TM) through 95%CI.

Sample: 57.8% male patients (Figure 2) 14-71 years old (age/gender; Mann-Whitney T., p=0.408); Prevalence of caries activity: 82.04% sound, 1.06% inactive and 16.90% active lesions. ICDAS (Visual and bitewing): enamel (7.07% and 2.67%), dentine (3.74% and 4.44%) and recurrent (3% and 2.41 %) caries. Visual/bitewing (Figure 3) examination ICC=0.674 (95%CI: 0.594-



Table 1 – Description of the method for lesion activity assessment proposed to be used with ICDAS evaluation. Clinical **Decay Activity Assessment** 

Parameter	(Active caries) <sup>(1)</sup>		
		1	
1=Visual	Brown/white lesions		
appearance		fi	
ICDAS score		2	
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6		а	
2=Plaque	Plaque stagnation area (PSA)	4	
stagnation	along the gingival, below or	3	
area (PSA)	above the contact area on	S	
	proximal surfaces, entrance to the	4	
	pits and fissures and cavities with	u	
	irregular borders	fi	
3=Surface texture	Rough or soft surface on gentle	5	
	probing Smooth or hard surface	0	
	on gentle probing		
<sup>(1)</sup> Ekstrand KR, Martignon S, Ricketts DJ, et al., 2007.			

Table 2 - Visual inspection according to ICDAS-II criteria (fillings/caries) and corresponding radiolucency of enamel and dentin by visual bitewing radiograph examinations.

	Visual Inspection (ICDAS-II scores) <sup>(2)</sup>	Corresponding bitewing	
		radiograph examination <sup>(3)</sup>	
	0 = sound (00, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80)	0 = no radiolucency,	
	1= first visible sign of non-cavitated lesion seen only when	1= radiolucency in the outer half	
	the tooth is dried, and/or associated with sealants or	ofenamel	
	fillings (01, 11, 21, 31, 41, 51, 61, 71, 81)		
	2= visible non-cavitated lesion seen when wet and dry;	2= radiolucency in the inner half	
	and/or associated with sealants or fillings (02, 12, 22, 32,	of enamel, up to the enamel-	
)	42, 52, 62, 72, 82)	dentin junction	
r	3= microcavitation in enamel and/or associated with		
۱	sealants or fillings (03, 13, 23, 33, 43, 53, 63, 73, 83)		
è	4= noncavitated lesion extending into dentin seen as an	3= radiolucency in the outer half	
۱	undermining shadow; and/or associated with sealants or	of dentin	
	fillings (04, 14, 24, 34, 44, 54, 64, 74, 84)		
ì	5= small cavitated lesion with visible dentin: less than 50%		
è	of surface and/or associated with sealants or fillings (05,		
	15, 25, 35, 45, 55, 65, 75, 85)		
	6= large cavitated lesions with visible dentin in more than	5	
	50% of the surface and/or associated with sealants or	of dentin	
	fillings (06, 16, 26, 36, 46, 56, 66, 76, 86)		
	<sup>(2)</sup> Pitts N, 2004. <sup>(3)</sup> Diniz MB, Lima LM, G. Eckert G, et al., 2011		





Figure 2 - Sample distribution (%) by gender (male, female patients) and age (< 20 years old; 20 to 39 years old; 40 to 59 years old and > 60





**TREATMENT DECISION**<sup>(4,5)</sup>

## Conclusions

Use of ICDAS-II, by visual and radiography examinations and caries activity, enables a more accurate diagnosis and guidance in surgical/non-surgical/therapeutic decision-making.

Correlation between visual/radiography examinations is reasonable to good.

## **Clinical Implications**

ICDAS-II may have high potential for caries detection and treatment planning, and other diagnosis devices can add more substantial information to visual examinations.

Keywords Caries Intra-oral radiography diagnosis; caries diagnosis, caries detection, caries prevalence, caries treatment decision, caries activity assessment, ICDAS-II, visual inspection

References (1)Ekstrand KR, Martignon S, Ricketts DJ, et al. Detection and activity assessment of primary coronal caries lesions: a methodologic study. Oper Dent 2007;32(3):225–35; (2)Pitts N. "ICDAS—an international system for caries detection and assessment being developed to facilitate caries epidemiology, research and appropriate clinical management"; Community Dental Health, vol. 21, no. 3, pp193–198, 2004. (3) Diniz MB, Lima LM, Eckert G., et al. "In vitro evaluation of icclas and radiographic examination of occlusal surfaces and their association with treatment decisions." Operative Dentistry, vol. 36, no. 2, pp. 133–142, 2011. <sup>(4)</sup>Jablonski-Momeni A, Stucke J, et al. Use of ICDAS-II, Fluorescence-Based Methods, and Radiography in Detection and Treatment Decision of Occlusal Caries Lesions: An In Vitro Study. Int J Dent. 2012;doi: 10.1155/2012/371595. <sup>(5)</sup>Braga MM, Mendes FM, Ekstrand KR. Detection Activity Assessment and Diagnosis of Dental Caries Lesions. Dent Clin N Am 54 (2010) 479–493. doi:10.1016/j.cden.2010.03.006.

