

# Use of autologous dentin as a bone graft material: a systematic review



### Nathalal D.<sup>DDS,MSc</sup> \*: Caetano A.<sup>DDS,MSc</sup> \*: Xavier I.<sup>DDS,MSc</sup> \*: Zagalo L.<sup>DDS,MSc</sup> \*: Rodrigues P.<sup>DDS,MSc</sup> \*\* \*Volunteers at the Implantology Consultation at I.U.E.M.\*\* Implantology consultation at I.U.E.M



### Introduction and Objectives

•Autologous Bone (AB) grafts have osteogenic, osteoinductive and osteoconductive properties<sup>(1, 2, 3)</sup>, therefore are considered the "gold standard" in bone regeneration.<sup>(1, 4)</sup>

• AB Disadvantages: risk of infection at the donor site, a limited availability of the autologous bone and a high graft resorption. (2) •Dentin and bone are similar mineralized tissues in terms of chemical composition, like the presence of hydroxyapatite, though the dentin is a more effective graft material because it does not have as many disadvantages as the bone in such matter. <sup>(5, 6, 7, 8)</sup> • The dentin is also rich in growth factors such as BMPs, which enhances bone formation and tissue repair (7,9)

• Auto-BT is a form of demineralized dentin matrix that can be used as a graft material and it comes in the form of powder, chip or •block. (5, 6, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16)

•The objective is to assess the utility of autologous dentin for:

a) its efficiency as a graft material in implantology

b) preservation and augmentation of the alveolar ridge

c) the advantage of being an alternative to other bone graft materials

## **Methods**

• Article search was performed using PubMed, regarding the use of autologous dentin as a graft material, published between 2012 and 2017.

•The following words were used in two different searches: "autologous dentin" and "autogenous dentin" respectively.

•The search was completed with the review of the cited references of the selected articles in order to generate studies not initially identified. The revisers analyzed the titles of the results with the application of inclusion (IC) and exclusion criteria (EC) : IC: 1) Articles published between 2012 and 2017; 2) Articles in English; 3) Studies performed only in humans; 4) Studies that evaluate the use of dentin as a graft material; 5) Minimum follow-up of 4 months after the procedure; 6) Results with radiographic or histologic evidence. EC: 1) Studies performed in animals; 2) Studies that used xenogenic or allogenic dentin; 3) Studies that used other dental tissues excluding dentin; 4) Single-case reports; 5) Studies that used the dentin for other purposes rather than bone grafting. The authors performed a new selection of the selected articles after abstract analysis and, finally, the full text articles.

•For this study, from all the information included in the selected articles, only studies with the use of autologous dentine as a bone graft material was considered. •16 articles were selected.

### FOLLOW-UP NUMBER OF CONCLUSION AUTHOR YEAR PURPOSE OF GRAFTING PATIENTS Binderman et al<sup>(1)</sup> 2014 > 100 Sinus bone augmentation "Gold-standard" for bone augmentation and bone defects 2 years and filling bone defects after extraction Jun et al<sup>(2)</sup> 4 months 2014 43 **Sinus augmentation** As effective as bovine xenografts Kim et al<sup>(3)</sup> 2014 Bone augmentation for Good alternative to autologous bone 13 3 years implants Valdec et al<sup>(4)</sup> 2017 4 4 months **Ridge preservation** Successful Pang et al<sup>(5)</sup> 2016 24 6 months **Ridge preservation for** As effective as bovine xenografts implants Kim<sup>(6)</sup> 2015 12 months **Good alternative** 38 Graft for implants Bone augmentation and Murata et al<sup>(7)</sup> 2013 2 4 months Successful cases onlay graft Kim<sup>(8)</sup> 2012 Safer than autologous bone n/a n/a **Bone augmentation** Park et al<sup>(9)</sup> 2012 250 6 months Implant placement Good alternative for autologous bone Lee et al<sup>(10)</sup> 2013 9 30 months Vertical and horizontal **Excellent** results augmentation Lee et al<sup>(11)</sup> 2013 9 weeks Implant placement As effective as GBR 20 Kim et al<sup>(12)</sup> 2014 37 Implant placement Good alternative to synthetic bone grafts 2 years Murata et al<sup>(13)</sup> **Best material** 2013 **Bone augmentation** 6 2 years Pohl et al<sup>(14)</sup> 2016 Good alternative for other materials 6 5 years **Sinus augmentation** Kim et al<sup>(15)</sup> Socket preservation and 2013 Good option n/a n/a implant placement Kim et al<sup>(16)</sup> Effective 2015 4 4 months Implant placement











Figure 1: AutoBT grafted 4 weeks after extraction. 6 months after the graft, osseous regeneration was observed and a sample was harvested for histological evaluation









Figure 2 – Extracted tooth ready to be fabricated into AutoBT in either powder or block form. B. AutoBT powder. C. AutoBT block form



Figure 3 - B and C:A case of AutoBT block graft. D- 5 months later, the implant was placed.E- 6 months after the final prosthesis

### Conclusions

Autologous dentin graft shows to be a viable alternative to autologous and xenogenic bone, having had stable results.

Dentin has a similar chemical composition to bone, having also growth factors which give its osteogenic, osteoinductive and osteoconductive properties

Autogenous tooth-derived bone material (AutoBT) is a safer way to harvest autogenous graft material than autologous bone and shows the same effectiveness as other grafting materials

The authors could conclude its efficiency with successful cases, but they suggest further tests and studies

### **Clinical Implications:**

The use of autologous dentin is efficient in the preservation and augmentation of the alveolar ridge and it reduces the morbidity coming from the unfavorable characteristics of autologous bone.