

Int Poster J Dent Oral Med 2011, Vol 13 No 4, Poster 564

International Poster Journal

MTBS of Dentin Adhesives on Primary Dentin Subjected to Irradiation

Language: English

Authors:

Dr. med. dent. Katrin Bekes, Claudia Rettig, S. Siegmund, J. Klinsmann, Prof. Dr. med. dent. Hans-Günter Schaller, PD Dr. med. dent. habil. Christian Ralf Gernhardt,

IP

Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Department of Operative Dentistry, Halle, Germany

Date/Event/Venue:

07.-09.10.2010 Annual Meeting of the Academy of Dental Materials Trieste, Italy

Introduction

Radiation therapy plays an important role in the management of malignant tumors in the head and neck region (1). However, this modality of treatment frequently results in serious and sometimes unavoidable changes to the orofacial structures (2,3). Radiation can cause early side effects that are noted during or shortly after treatment such as inflammation of the oral mucosa, loss of taste and salivary gland hypofunction (xerostomia, changed salivary composition) as well as late side effects which develop months or years after the end of radiotherapy affecting salivary glands, teeth, bone, muscles and skin.

The influence of radiation on the dental hard tissue is still unknown and controversially discussed in the literature.

Objectives

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of different irradiation doses on microtensile bond strength (mTBS) of four different dentin adhesives / compomer combinations on perfused primary dentin in vitro.

Material and Methods

The study was carried out on 144 freshly extracted primary molars. All teeth were specially prepared allowing the simulation of dentin perfusion and standardized conditions. According to four different dentin adhesives and three irradiation doses (0 Gy, 6 Gy and 60 Gy), the specimens were randomly assigned to twelve experimental groups of twelve each: Syntac (S0, S6, S60), Prime&Bond (P0, P6, P60), Futurabond (F0, F6, F60), Optibond (O0, O6, O60). Each specimen was irradiated fractionally with 2 Gy/day (6 mV, x-ray) using a linear accelerator (Mevatron-MXE-2, Siemens, Germany), respectively, depending on the experimental irradiation dose group. All materials were applied as recommended by the manufacturer. Microtensile bond strength was measured 15 minutes after application of the dentin adhesive using an universal testing machine (Figure 1).

Statistical analysis was per-formed using SPSS 15.0. The data of mTBS were analysed by one-way anova and Tukey's multiple comparisons. For each out-come, statistical significance was set at P<0.05.



Fig. 1: Special apparatus designed to test $\ensuremath{\mathsf{mTBS}}$

Results

Statistical analysis showed a significant influence of irradiation on microtensile bond strength (p<0.001, ANOVA) (Figure 2). The highest mTBS were evaluated in the non-irradiated groups (S0, P0, F0, O0), the lowest mTBS were observed in specimens irradiated with 60 Gy (S60, P60, F60, O60). Comparison of the dentin adhesives in non-irradiated groups showed significant higher values in specimens treated with Optibond compared to group S0 (p<0.05, Tukey's test). Between groups S0, P0 and F0 no significant difference could be detected (p>0.05, Tukey's test). The influence of irradiation was significant in the 60 Gy groups except for Syntac (Tukey's test, p<0.05). Irradiation doses of 6 Gy did not show any significant influence compared to non-irradiated groups (0 Gy).

Syntac
Prime&Bond
Futurabond DC
Optibond All in One

| - | S0 | S6 | S60 |
|---|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|----|----|-----|

Mean 17.60 16.59 13.49 21.14 18.71 13.88 21.82 17.93 12.51 25.25 20.64 18.21 ± 4.00 5.48 4.35 3.90 4.39 3.15 7.14 7.08 5.20 6.97 3.65 4.73 Tab. 1: Mean value and standard deviations (in MPa) within the different groups



Fig. 2: Boxplot

Conclusions

Within the limitations of an in vitro investigation it can be concluded that irradiation of primary teeth in doses of 60 Gy might affect microtensile bond strength of dentin adhesive/compomer combinations.

Literature

- 1. Argiris A et al.: Head and neck cancer. Lancet 2008; 9625(371):1695-709.
- 2. Vissink A et al.: Oral sequelae of head and neck radiotherapy. Crit Rev Oral Biol Med 2003;3(14):199-212.
- 3. Otmani N: Oral and maxillofacial side effects of radiation therapy on children. J Can Dent Assoc 2007;3(73):257-61.

Abbreviations

Gy = Gray mTBS = microtensile bond strength MPa = Megapascal

This Poster was submitted by Claudia Rettig.

Correspondence address:

Claudia Rettig Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg Department für Zahn-, Mund- und Kieferheilkunde, Universitätspoliklinik für Zahnerhaltungskunde und Parodontologie Große Steinstraße 19 06108 Halle Germany

Poster Faksimile:

Universita-kilesike Ealle (Saale)

Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg

MTBS of Dentin Adhesives on Primary Dentin Subjected to Irradiation

K. BEKES, C. RETTIG*, S. SIEGMUND, J. KLINSMANN, H.G. SCHALLER, C.R. GERNHARDT Department of Operative Dentistry, Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg, Germany

Introduction

Radiation therapy plays an important role in the management of malignant tumors in the head and neck region.¹ However, this modality of treatment frequently results in serious and sometimes unavoidable changes to the orofacial structures.^{2,3} Radiation can cause early side effects that are noted during or shortly after treatment such as inflammation of the oral mucosa, loss of taste and salivary gland hypofunction (xerostomia, changed salivary composition) as well as late side effects which develop months or years after the end of radiotherapy affecting salivary glands, teeth, bone, muscles and skin. The influence of radiation on the dental hard tissue is still unknown and controversially discussed in the literature. ³

Aim of the Study

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of different irradiation doses on microtensile bond strength (mTBS) of four different dentin adhesives / compomer combinations on perfused primary dentin in vitro.

Material and Methods

The study was carried out on 144 freshly extracted primary molars. All teeth were specially prepared allowing the simulation of dentin perfusion and standardized conditions. According to four different dentin adhesives and three irradiation doses (0 Gy, 6 Gy and 60 Gy), the specimens were randomly assigned to twelve experimental groups of twelve each: Syntac (S0, S6, S60), Prime&Bond (P0, P6, P60), Futurabond (F0, F6, F60), Optibond (O0, O6, O60). Each specimen was irradiated fractionally with 2 Gy/day (5 mV, x-ray) using a linear accelerator (Mevatron-MXE-2, Siemens, Germany), respectively, depending on the experimental irradiation dose group. All materials were applied as recommended by the manufacture. Microtensile bond strength was measured 15 minutes after application of the dentin adhesive using an universal testing machine (Figure 1).



Results

For the test series following tensile bond strengths were evaluated (mean values and standard deviations in MPa) (Table 1):

Syntac Prime&Bond Futurabond DC Option All in One 90 55 1580 P0 P6 P0 F6 P6 P0 F6 P6 F6 F6</

Statistical analysis showed a significant influence of irradiation on microtensile bond strength (p<0.001, ANOVA) (Figure 2). The highest mTBS were evaluated in the non-irradiated groups (S0, P0, F0, O0), the lowest mTBS were observed in specimens irradiated with 60 Gy (S60, P60, F60, O60). Comparison of the dentin adhesives in non-irradiated groups showed significant higher values in specimens treated with Optibond compared to group S0 (p<0.05, Tukey's test). Between groups S0, P0 and F0 no significant difference could be detected (p>0.05, Tukey's test). The influence of irradiation was significant in the 60 Gy groups except for Syntac (Tukey's test, p<0.05). Irradiation doses of 6 Gy did not show any significant influence compared to nonirradiated groups (0 Gy).



Conclusions

Within the limitations of an in vitro investigation it can be concluded that irradiation of primary teeth in doses of 60 Gy might affect microtensile bond strength of dentin adhesive/compomer combinations.

Annual Meeting

Academy /

References 1. Arginit A et al.: Head and neck cancer. Lencet 2009; 6925(371):1895–701; 2. Visarin A et al.: Onla gequelae of head and neck radiotherapy. Crif Rav Onal Biol Med 2003;3(14):199–212; 3. Oftman IV: Onal and maxiltaticali side effects of notacion therapy on childran. J Can Den Kasc 2007;3(2):257–61.

Cance was set at P < 0.05. 3. Orman N: Oral and mixiliotacial side effects of radian therapy on children. J Can Dent Assoc 2007;3(73) 25

Annual Meeting of the Academy of Dental Materials 07.-09.10.2010 Trieste, Italy Correspondence, Dr. Kath Beker, Marth-Luthe-University Halle-Withinkerg, Dental School of Medicine, Department of Operative Dentative, Hard 42a, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dental Beker, University Halle-Withinkerg, Dental School of Medicine, Department of Operative Dentative, Hard 42a, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dental Beker, University Halle-Withinkerg, Dental School of Medicine, Department of Operative Dentative, Hard 42a, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale), E-Mail: Latific Dentative, University Hard 42b, D-Solid Hulle (Seale),

Statistical analysis was per-

The data of mTBS were

analysed by one-way anova and Tukey's multiple

comparisons. For each outcome, statistical signifi-

formed using SPSS 15.0.

#31

