THE EFFECT OF TWO DESENSITIZING AGENTS ON DENTINE HYPERSENSITIVITY: A RANDOMIZED, SPLIT-MOUTH CLINICAL TRIAL

Dr. Naz-E-Farha Hakeem, Dr. Shruthi Eshwar, Dr. B.K. Srivastava, Dr. Vipin Jain, Dr. Sudarshan Chinna Department of Public Health Dentistry, K.L.E Society's Institute of Dental Sciences

INTRODUCTION

Desensitizers – Gluma, Hemaseal, Pulpdent, Nivodense

Acute toxicity in oral cavity.

Skin corrosion, Serious eye damage.

Chitosan hydrogel (CH) Biocompatibility, Hydrophilicity, Bio adhesive,

Biodegradability, Antibacterial

AIM

To compare the efficacy of glutaraldehyde-containing Gluma desensitizer and Chitosan hydrogel on reducing the dentine hypersensitivity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS



•VAS

recorded

Convenience sampling - 30 participants

• Randomized spilt mouth – 3rd & 4th quadrant

Baseline Immediately after intervention After 15 days

Sig.^b (J) Mean Std. 95% Cl^b (I) time CH time Differe Error Upper Lower applicatio nce (I-Bound Bound J) n 1 -2 2.200* .194 .000 1.707 2.693 baseline. 3 .867 .229 .002 .286 1.448 after 2 - after 1 .194 .000 -2.693-1.7072.200* interventi -.619 3 .281 .000 -2.047 on 1.333* -.867 .229 .002 -1.448 -.286 1 3 - after 15 days 2 1.333* .281 .001* .619 2.047

b. Adjustment for multiple comparisons: Bonferroni.,

DISCUSSION

Chitosan is a new biomaterial for dental applications with potential bone regeneration¹ & bio-adhesive properties² that can be used in reducing hypersensitivity.

<u>Recommendation:</u> Chitosan with varied concentrations to be tested for the reduction of sensitivity

CONCLUSION

Gluma and Chitosan Hydrogel both are potential desensitizers.

PUBLIC HEALTH SIGNIFICANCE

As the prevalence of DH is 70-80% and can be seen from middle age to older adults, addressing this need with materials that have the least adverse effect on exposure is required.

RESULTS

CHITOSAN	Mean		SD		Ν	
Baseline VAS Scale	6.5	53	1.13	87	30	
After intervention 36	4.3	3	1.12	24	30	
After 15 days 36	5.6	57	1.37	3	30	
GLUMA	Mean		SD		Ν	
Baseline VAS scale	6.53		1.137		30	
After intervention 46	3.80		.997		30	
After 15 days 46	3.60		.770		30	



- 1. Husain S, Al-Samadani KH, Najeeb S, Zafar MS, Khurshid Z, Zohaib S, Qasim SB. Chitosan biomaterials for current and potential dental applications. Materials. 2017 May 31;10(6):602.
- 2. Perchyonok, V.T.; Grobler, S.; Zhang, S.; Olivier, A.; Oberholzer, T. Insights into chitosan hydrogels on dentinebond strength and cytotoxicity. Open J. Stomatol. 2013, 3, 75–82.

Presented at AMRITA International Public Health Conference, Kochi, 2nd – 3rd Nov 2018