Clinical Endodontics

Brooke Blicher, DMD
Rebekah Lucier Pryles, DMD
Jarshen Lin, DDS
Alice Li, DMD

QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING

Clinical Endodontics

Dedication

To John Dresser, whose continued commitment to excellence and the pursuit of knowledge sets the highest bar for what it means to provide exceptional patient-centered care.

One book, one tree: In support of reforestation worldwide and to address the climate crisis, for every book sold Quintessence Publishing will plant a tree (https://onetreeplanted.org/).

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data
Names: Blicher, Brooke, author. | Lucier Pryles, Rebekah, author. | Lin, Jarshen, author. | Li, Alice, author.
Title: Clinical endodontics / Brooke Blicher, Rebekah Lucier Pryles, Jarshen Lin, Alice Li.
Description: Batavia, IL : Quintessence Publishing Co. Inc., [2023] | Includes bibliographical references and index. | Summary: "This manual equips clinicians with all the best protocols and knowledge for administering general endodontic care"-- Provided by publisher.
Identifiers: LCCN 2023027796 | ISBN 9781647241759 (spiral bound)
Subjects: MESH: Endodontics--methods | Periodontal Diseases | Dental Pulp Diseases
Classification: LCC RK351 | NLM WU 241 | DDC 617.6/342--dc23/eng/20230726
LC record available at https://lccn.loc.gov/2023027796

A CIP record for this book is available from the British Library.
ISBN: 9781647241759

QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING USA

Quintessence Publishing Co, Inc
411 N Raddant Road
Batavia, IL 60510
www.quintessence-publishing.com

5 4 3 2 1

Editor: Kristen Clark
Design: Sue Zubek
Production: Angelina Schmelter

Printed in China

Clinical Endodontics

Brooke Blicher, DMD

Private Practice Limited to Endodontics
White River Junction, Vermont

Lecturer
Department of Restorative Dentistry
and Biomaterials Sciences
Harvard School of Dental Medicine

Assistant Clinical Professor
Department of Endodontics
Tufts University School of Dental Medicine
Boston, Massachusetts

Jarshen Lin, DDS

Director of Predoctoral Endodontics
Department of Restorative Dentistry and
Biomaterials Sciences
Harvard School of Dental Medicine

Clinical Associate
Department of Surgery, Division of Dentistry
Massachusetts General Hospital
Boston, Massachusetts

Rebekah Lucier Pryles, DMD

Private Practice Limited to Endodontics
White River Junction, Vermont

Assistant Clinical Professor
Department of Endodontics
Tufts University School of Dental Medicine

Lecturer
Department of Restorative Dentistry
and Biomaterials Sciences
Harvard School of Dental Medicine
Boston, Massachusetts

Alice Li, DMD

MMSc Candidate
Advanced Graduate Program in Endodontics
Harvard School of Dental Medicine
Boston, Massachusetts

Chief Content Officer
My Dental Key, LLC

QUINTESSENCE PUBLISHING

Berlin | Chicago | Tokyo
Barcelona | London | Milan | Mexico City | Paris | Prague | Seoul | Warsaw
Beijing | Istanbul | Sao Paulo | Zagreb

Contents

QUICK GUIDE

Booklet insert located in pocket of back cover.

Preface

Endodontic care is integral to the practice of dentistry. Sadly, however, many practitioners are intimidated by endodontic diagnosis and treatment planning. This text aims to cover the full breadth of endodontic diagnosis and care to bring an evidence-based perspective to clinical practice. The vast swath of endodontic literature offers clinicians both classic wisdom and new information that can be applied directly to patient care, and readers will find literature references throughout the text to support evidence-based practice.

Though the origins of this book were in the development of predoctoral endodontics curricula, its comprehensive scope renders it useful for practitioners of all levels, including dental students, residents, general practitioners, and specialists alike. Even providers who do not perform the full scope of endodontic procedures should be knowledgeable about their existence and availability.

The main text is dedicated to the overall theory and biologic basis of diagnosis and treatment, including detailed procedure guides. The Quick Guide, found at the back of the book, is modeled on cookbooks and includes tray setups and step-by-step procedural instructions, making this guide useful for not only practitioners but also clinical staff tasked with operatory setup.

We hope you enjoy our illustrated and evidence-based guide to clinical endodontic practice and that it promotes both your learning and the delivery of excellent clinical care.

PART I

Establishing a Diagnosis

The practice of endodontics is grounded in the management of orofacial pain and infection. Pain and infection, however, are not exclusive to endodontic pathology. Furthermore, not all endodontic diagnoses warrant endodontic treatment. The establishment of an accurate and complete diagnosis is an essential prerequisite for any endodontic treatment. This section of the text discusses the materials and techniques for detecting and diagnosing endodontic pathology, as well as its differential diagnosis.

Examination Protocols

1

Diagnosis is the foundation of endodontics. The diagnosis of endodontic pathology and orofacial pain requires gathering a careful patient history and performing both clinical and radiographic examinations. These components are referred to as the subjective and objective exams. Taken together, the exam findings are used to establish the patient's diagnosis and direct the selection and provision of appropriate treatment modalities. This chapter reviews the components of the diagnostic exam.

Subjective Examination

As is true of all medical and dental encounters, a thoughtful and thorough patient interview, also known as the subjective examination, is both foundational to the development of a trusting patient-clinician relationship and provides necessary information to direct the objective examination that follows. This interview can take place either in person or, when in-person encounters are not possible, as a telehealth encounter via phone or video as permitted by local practice laws. The components of the subjective exam are *(1)* the chief complaint, *(2)* the history of the present illness (HPI), *(3)* the past dental history (PDH), and *(4)* the medical history (Fig 1-1).



FIG 1-1 The components of the subjective examination.

1 Examination Protocols

The chief complaint is the reason the patient is seeking care. This should be recorded in the patient's own words, not only to demonstrate to the patient that their concerns are understood but also to ensure that treatment plans address the patient's explicit and individualized needs.

The HPI should further develop the clinician's understanding of the patient's chief complaint. If not immediately volunteered, the timeline of symptoms (ie, the onset and duration) and their trajectory over time (increased, decreased, or steady) should be established. Furthermore, clinicians must inquire about symptom intensity, localization, and exacerbating and alleviating factors. If analgesic use is reported, the timing of the last dosage should be established because medications may directly affect responses to clinical testing.

Because endodontic pathology develops as a result of pulpal irritation, the PDH provides clues to the etiology of the chief complaint, including but not limited to restorative care, endodontic treatment, fractures, and trauma. The setting, type, and timing of prior dental care should be ascertained. If trauma is included in the history, the specifics surrounding the traumatic injury must be understood. Deep restorative care may result in the development of endodontic pathology years after treatment.[1] Teeth with a history of deep restorations face a lifetime of elevated risk for requiring nonsurgical root canal therapy (NSRCT), with the risk being further increased for teeth serving as abutments for partial dentures than for those supporting single crowns.[2–6]

As with all dental examinations, a thorough medical history, including past and present illnesses, medications, and allergies, should be obtained. The medical history can alert clinicians to medications and conditions that may interfere with endodontic diagnosis. Ibuprofen taken to treat the chief complaint or another painful condition can directly mitigate responses to clinical testing, including responses to percussion, palpation, and cold testing.[7] Additionally, a history of head/neck radiation may lessen the expected responses to pulp sensitivity testing.[8]

The medical history may also alert clinicians to other medications or conditions that could impact the delivery of care. Blood thinners warrant consideration both because of their direct effects in increasing bleeding in cases of planned surgical care and because they contraindicate the use of nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drug (NSAID) family pain relievers.[9,10] Antiresorptive medications, including bisphosphonate and RANKL inhibitors, or a history of head/neck radiation therapy affect treatment planning because they increase the risk of osteonecrosis following invasive dental procedures, including surgical endodontic care and extractions.[11–13] Patients with poorly controlled diabetes or other systemic or drug-related immune system compromise may have difficulty healing from infections, and consideration might be made for antibiotic coverage in consultation with their physicians.[14] Cardiac conditions warranting antibiotic premedication for endodontic procedures should be documented.[15,16]

Measurement of vital signs should also be included in the review of a patient's medical history. This should include measurement of the patient's blood pressure and body temperature. An oral or temporal temperature is important for detecting systemic effects of infection. A high-quality thermometer should be used and the measurement recorded in the patient's chart whenever infection is suspected.

The results of the subjective exam should be recorded in the patient's chart. From a medico-legal perspective, this ensures that patient needs and desires are being communicated and addressed. Most importantly, the patient interview should develop the clinician's differential diagnosis. The interview and differential diagnosis should then be utilized to guide the objective examination that follows.

Objective Examination

The objective examination includes both a clinical and radiographic examination. The clinical exam consists of a careful extraoral and intraoral inspection of the hard and soft tissues, as well as clinical testing. The radiographic examination should include both 2D and 3D radiographs, when relevant. The standard armamentarium for the objective endodontic exam is shown in Fig 1-2, and the clinical components are detailed in Fig 1-3.

FIG 1-2 The standard armamentarium for the clinical examination includes a clean mirror, an explorer, a periodontal probe, cotton-tipped applicators or pliers with a no. 2 or no. 4 cotton pellet, refrigerant spray, and bite testing implements including cotton rolls and/or commercially available bite testers, such as a Tooth Slooth (Professional Results) or Fracfinder (Denbur).



FIG 1-3 The components of the objective examination.

Extraoral examination

The extraoral examination of the head and neck should assess for swelling, asymmetries, and lymphadenopathy (Fig 1-4). The quality of any swelling should be assessed as fluctuant or firm. Palpation of submandibular and cervical lymph nodes can be used to

detect lymphadenopathy resulting from infections of the head and neck region, though not necessarily specific to the dentition. Extraoral sinus tracts, though rare, should also be documented. These represent a means of drainage from an infected tooth. As with intraoral sinus tracts, they should be radiographically traced with gutta-percha to confirm the source.



FIG 1-4 Extraoral swelling *(a)* may indicate an endodontically derived cellulitis, such as this case that occurred secondary to pulpal necrosis with acute apical abscess of the maxillary left first molar *(b)*.

The muscles of mastication and the temporomandibular joint should also be inspected during the extraoral examination (Fig 1-5). Palpation of the masseter and temporalis muscles, particularly in patients with a history of bruxism, is essential to evaluate whether a patient's chief complaint is the result of myofascial pain as opposed to endodontic pathology.



FIG 1-5 The muscles of mastication and the temporomandibular joint should be examined during the extraoral exam to rule out myofascial pain or a temporomandibular joint disorder as the etiology of the chief complaint.



Adjunctive trauma examination

When evaluating traumatic dental injuries, the objective examination should be expanded if the clinician is the first to examine the patient following the injury. A primary patient survey should be conducted following the mnemonic **ABCDE**. Clinicians must ensure a patent **airway** and stabilized cervical spine. They should also ensure that there is adequate **breathing** and ventilation and intact **circulation** without evidence of shock. Clinicians must assess for neurologic **disability**, and the patient should be fully undressed to achieve **exposure** of the full body for examination.[17] Additionally, because dental trauma carries the risk of concomitant head trauma, a neurologic screening tool, such as the Glasgow Coma Scale,[18,19] should be used to rule out neurologic compromise or decompensation, which would warrant immediate EMS referral (Table 1-1).

TABLE 1-1 The Glasgow Coma Scale

Behavior	Response	Score
Eye Opening Response	Spontaneously	4
	To speech	3
	To pain	2
	No response	1
Best Verbal Response	Oriented to time, place, and person	5
	Confused	4
	Inappropriate words	3
	Incomprehensible sounds	2
	No response	1
Best Motor Response	Obeys commands	6
	Moves to localized pain	5
	Flexion withdrawal from pain	4
	Abnormal flexion (decorticate)	3
	Abnormal extension (decerebrate)	2
	No response	1
Total Score	Best response	15
	Comatose client	8 or less
	Totally unresponsive	3

Intraoral examination

Hard tissue examination

A comprehensive examination of the dentition is crucial to establish both a potential etiology for endodontic pathology and to evaluate the restorability of the tooth or teeth in question. New or recurrent carious lesions should be identified. Assessment of the lesions with a dental explorer not only discloses caries depth but also reveals any associated sensitivity indicative of near or frank exposures of the adjacent pulp. The overall quality of existing restorations should be evaluated because poorly sealed restorations can permit coronal leakage even in the absence of frank caries.

Cracks or fractures should be visualized and explored for loss of tooth structure and separation or mobility between fractured segments. Cracks and fractures may be better visualized with the use of a fiber optic light to transilluminate the fracture line itself; the fracture will stop transmission of the light, delineating a clear break.[20,21] Certain dyes, including vegetable-based versions (eg, To Dye For, Roydent) and methylene blue, can also be used to more clearly delineate coronal fracture lines[21] (Fig 1-6). It can be difficult to assess the depth of unseparated fracture lines running mesiodistally in posterior teeth due to extensions into interproximal spaces. That said, this assessment is crucial to determine the prognosis for a tooth (see chapters 11 and 14 for more on fractured teeth).



FIG 1-6 Dyes such as methylene blue or vegetable-based dyes can be used to better visualize fracture lines.



FIG 1-7 *(a and b)* The assessment of restorability should begin during the examination process because restorability dictates whether endodontic treatment can or should be provided in the presence of pulpal and periapical pathology. (Photo courtesy of Dr Alicia Willette.)

Whether caries or fractures are noted, clinicians must carefully evaluate restorability (Fig 1-7). Deep caries may violate biologic width, warranting consideration of adjunctive procedures, including crown-lengthening surgery or orthodontic extrusion. Cracks and fractures may similarly violate periodontal structures, warranting adjunctive procedures or extraction.

Just as caries and fractures must be assessed, so too must the color of the tooth or teeth in question. Discoloration of a tooth as compared to neighboring controls can indicate transient pulpal pathology or necrosis. Other discolorations may be present because of endodontic or restorative materials within the crown of the tooth, and although these may not create biologic issues, esthetic concerns may warrant management (Fig 1-8). The patient's level of concern about such discoloration will impact treatment planning, including the potential need for internal bleaching following endodontic treatment. Gray or brown discolorations suggest staining secondary to an infected pulp, whereas pink discolorations suggest resorptive etiologies.[22]



FIG 1-8 Discoloration of the dentition should be assessed as part of every endodontic evaluation. Pink discoloration *(a)* may indicate the development of a resorptive defect, whereas yellow, gray, or brown discoloration *(b)* points to pulpal necrosis or dental materials as the cause of discoloration.

A basic examination of occlusal patterns and contacts can be performed to check for alternative sources of pain as well as potential risk factors for fractures. Bruxism and parafunctional habits can both cause initial endodontic pathology when fractures develop and may cause posttreatment failures if not corrected. The presence of wear facets can similarly indicate parafunction and potential occlusal trauma.

It should be noted that there is a clear etiology for most cases of endodontic pathology. That said, spontaneous pulp necrosis may rarely occur due to adjacent nonodontogenic masses or tumors or surgical care leading to devitalization of roots. Case reports have additionally suggested that pulp necrosis might occur secondary to medical conditions including herpes zoster[23] and sickle cell anemia.[24]

Soft tissue examination

The intraoral soft tissues should be examined during every dental examination. The endodontic exam specifically aims to visualize any signs of intraoral swelling, sinus tracts, or periodontal defects.

- **Swelling:** Acute endodontic infections are associated with the development of swelling (Fig 1-9). Many intraoral swellings are visible, and manual palpation can also help to detect subtle swellings, especially when making comparisons to contralateral tissues. The location of the swelling must be carefully documented. Swelling associated with endodontic pathology is often detectable buccal or facial to the tooth, but palatal or lingual swellings may also develop. Endodontically derived swelling is most often located adjacent to the root apex of the affected tooth. Swelling located closer to the gingival margin raises suspicion of periodontal pathology, fractures, or resorptive defects but may also result from periodontal-endodontic infections.[25] As with extraoral swellings, the quality of the swelling as fluctuant or firm should be noted, as well as its dimensions and extension.



FIG 1-9 Localized swelling associated with endodontic pathology typically occurs adjacent to the apices of the teeth involved. This patient presented with an acute apical abscess associated with the maxillary left first molar. The fluctuant swelling was noted apical to the tooth. (Case courtesy of Dr Coco Lin.)

- **Sinus tracts:** Chronic endodontic infections are associated with sinus tracts. These sinus tracts present as an opening through the alveolar mucosa and may develop on the buccal or lingual/palatal aspects of teeth (Fig 1-10). Like swellings, sinus tracts of endodontic origin are typically located adjacent to the root apex of the affected tooth.[26] Coronally positioned sinus tracts raise suspicion of vertical root fractures or periodontal-endodontic infections. Because sinus tracts may not always present directly adjacent to their source, radiographic tracing with gutta-percha is essential. CBCT imaging represents an alternative radiographic means of assessing sinus tracts; the pathway of bone loss can be followed from the radiographic lesion to the sinus tract opening as visualized clinically.



FIG 1-10 Sinus tracts indicate a draining infection, and radiographs must be used to confirm their source. Both periapical imaging utilizing a gutta-percha cone for tracing or CBCT imaging to accurately show the pathology and its tract through bone to soft tissue are acceptable options. In the case shown, a palatal sinus tract *(a)* was traced to the maxillary right first molar first with gutta-percha and periapical imaging *(b)* and then via CBCT imaging without tracing *(c)*.



FIG 1-11 A limited periodontal exam, including an assessment of probing depths and mobility, should be performed on all teeth undergoing endodontic evaluation.

- **Periodontal exam:** The soft tissue exam should include a limited periodontal exam, incorporating measurement of circumferential probing depths, detection of associated bleeding or purulence, assessment of mobility, and checking for recession (Fig 1-11). Localized narrow and deep probing measurements are associated with both periodontal-endodontic infections and vertical root fractures.[26] Wider defects or generalized probing depths, on the other hand, are more frequently associated with periodontal disease. Bleeding or purulence on probing can indicate inflammation or infection, respectively. Mobility of a single tooth may indicate severe periodontal disease or a larger endodontic infection with loss of bone support. Mobility of several teeth together suggests alveolar fracture.

Clinical testing

The diagnosis of endodontic pathology requires an accurate replication of the patient's chief complaint. This is accomplished through clinical tests referred to as pulp sensitivity and periapical tests. These tests act as conduits to determine the health of the dental pulp and to detect signs of

inflammation of the adjacent periodontal ligament (PDL).[27] The results should be considered as a whole. It is rare that the results of a single test can be used to confer the absolute diagnosis for a tooth. The results of two or more of these tests will confirm the presence or absence of endodontic disease.

As with all types of diagnostic tests, control testing is essential. These results must also be recorded in the patient's chart. Referred pain from endodontic pathology is common, and confirming the source of pain via clinical and radiographic exam findings is essential. Referral patterns include pain originating in neighboring teeth or even teeth in the opposing arch.[28] When the pain is difficult to localize, testing should be completed on all teeth in the suspected arch as well as the opposing arch. Contralateral teeth may be used as controls when neighboring controls are unavailable or perhaps have been previously endodontically treated. Consideration should be given to the type of restoration on control teeth because teeth with indirect restorations or metallic restorations may globally exhibit stronger responses to thermal testing than those with full-coverage restorations, particularly ceramic restorations. Clinicians must never ignore the possibility that more than one tooth may be the source of symptoms. Figure 1-12 provides an example of control selection.



FIG 1-12 An example of control teeth used when testing for suspected pathology in the mandibular right first molar. At the very least, the adjacent second molar and second premolar should be tested as control teeth. In patients with poorly localized pain, the area of testing should be expanded to include the remaining teeth in the quadrant (teeth 25 to 28), as well as teeth in the opposing arch (teeth 2 to 8) to rule out referred pain. The mandibular left first molar can also be used as a control tooth; pulp sensitivity tests and PDL tests should provoke similar responses in the contralateral tooth.

PULP SENSITIVITY TESTS

Testing modalities that can be used to ascertain the health or disease of the pulp tissue include cold testing, heat testing, and electric pulp testing. Pulp sensitivity testing measures a response to conduit measures of nerve sensibility. Presently, no assessment methods for the true measures of pulp vitality are available for use in routine clinical

FIG 1-13 The armamentarium for pulp sensitivity testing should include a refrigerant spray (eg, EdgeIce, EdgeEndo) and either a cotton-tipped applicator or cotton pellet.

practice, but modalities are under investigation in laboratory settings. For now, the term "pulp sensitivity testing" is more accurate than "pulp vitality testing."

As a result of testing limitations and the fact that the presence of vital tissues does not always correspond to a response to tests, false positive and false negative results are possible. Limitations in accuracy must be considered whenever inconsistent test results arise, and a diagnosis should never be made based solely on the results of sensitivity testing. Pulp sensitivity tests are notoriously inaccurate in immature teeth[29] and immediately following traumatic dental injuries.[30] Consequently, extra care must be taken when making a diagnosis with these comorbidities.

Cold testing is the most accurate pulp sensitivity test currently available.[31] Refrigerant sprays are considered the safest and most convenient and effective means of cold testing.[31,32] These can be delivered to the surface of the tooth using either cotton-tipped applicators or a cotton pellet held with cotton pliers (Fig 1-13). Because the buccal or facial surface balances the needs for accessibility and proximity to the pulp chamber, it is the surface of choice for cold testing. That said, the occlusal and lingual or palatal surfaces can be tested when an initial response is not detected. A common issue with cold testing is insufficient application of the refrigerant spray to the cotton, which may not elicit a response. Thus, it is important to ensure that the delivery device is sufficiently soaked in cold spray, which may require several seconds of spraying to enhance test accuracy.

Patients should be instructed to raise their hand to communicate the sensation of cold or pain resulting from the test and to keep their hand raised until the sensation diminishes to allow for detection of both the presence and duration of the response. Additionally, patients should be asked to compare the intensity of the sensation felt after each tooth is tested in order to determine if a tooth exhibits a heightened or reduced response compared to controls. Although cold testing may be less accurate on teeth restored with full-coverage restorations,[33] testing should still be completed because many heavily restored teeth will continue to exhibit a response.[34] Ultimately, comparison with similarly restored controls allows for the best assessment of what is normal for the individual patient.

Although heat testing is not as accurate as cold testing,[31] it is useful when heat sensitivity is part of the patient's chief complaint. Heat sensitivity is most commonly reported in teeth with symptomatic irreversible pulpitis or necrosis and has also been reported in previously treated teeth due to the presence of untreated anatomy.[35] Heated gutta-percha is the safest and most effective means for heat testing.[36] This can be done by heating

day or up to several weeks later depending on the situation. In certain cases, reimaging may be undertaken, but considering the principles of ALARA, a reasonable interval of time should pass before exposing the patient to additional radiation to confirm likely radiographic changes.

References

1. Abou-Rass M. The stressed pulp condition: An endodontic-restorative diagnostic concept. J Prosthet Dent 1982;48:264–267.
2. Yavorek A, Bhagavatula P, Patel K, Szabo A, Ibrahim M. The incidence of root canal therapy after full coverage restorations: A 10-year retrospective study. J Endod 2020;46:605–610.
3. Valderhaug J, Jokstad A, Ambjørnsen E, Norheim PW. Assessment of the periapical and clinical status of crowned teeth over 25 years. J Dent 1997;25:97–105.
4. Saunders WP, Saunders EM. Prevalence of periradicular periodontitis associated with crowned teeth in an adult Scottish subpopulation. Br Dent J 1998;185:137–140.
5. Kontakiotis EG, Filippatos CG, Stefopoulos S, Tzanetakis GN. A prospective study of the incidence of asymptomatic pulp necrosis following crown preparation. Int Endod J 2015;48:512–517.
6. Cheung GSP, Lai SCN, Ng RPY. Fate of vital pulps beneath a metal-ceramic crown or a bridge retainer. Int Endod J 2005;38:521–530.
7. Read JK, McClanahan SB, Khan AA, Lunos S, Bowles WR. Effect of ibuprofen on masking endodontic diagnosis. J Endod 2014;40:1058–1062.
8. Gupta N, Grewal MS, Gairola M, Grewal S, Ahlawat P. Dental pulp status of posterior teeth in patients with oral and oropharyngeal cancer treated with radiotherapy: 1-year follow-up. J Endod 2018;44:549–554.
9. Lin S, Hoffman R, Nabriski O, Moreinos D, Dummer PMH. Management of patients receiving novel antithrombotic treatment in endodontic practice: Review and clinical recommendations. Int Endod J 2021;54:1754–1768.
10. Kaplovitch E, Dounaevskaia V. Treatment in the dental practice of the patient receiving anticoagulation therapy. J Am Dent Assoc 2019;150:602–608.
11. Katz H. Endodontic implications of bisphosphonate-associated osteonecrosis of the jaws: A report of three cases. J Endod 2005;31:831–834.
12. Ruggiero SL, Fantasia J, Carlson E. Bisphosphonate-related osteonecrosis of the jaw: Background and guidelines for diagnosis, staging and management. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2006;102:433–441.
13. Chrcanovic BR, Reher P, Sousa AA, Harris M. Osteoradionecrosis of the jaws—A current overview—Part 1. Oral Maxillofac Surg 2010;14:3–16.
14. Fouad AF, Burleson J. The effect of diabetes mellitus on endodontic treatment outcome: Data from an electronic patient record. J Am Dent Assoc 2003;134:43–51.
15. Otto CM, Nishimura RA, Bonow RO, et al. 2020 ACC/AHA Guideline for the management of patients with valvular heart disease: A Report of the American College of Cardiology/American Heart Association Joint Committee on Clinical Practice Guidelines. Circulation 2021;143:e72–e227 [erratum 2021;143:e229].
16. Wilson WR, Gewitz M, Lockhart PB, et al. Prevention of Viridans group streptococcal infective endocarditis: A scientific statement from the American Heart Association. Circulation 2021;143:e963–e978.
17. Steelman R. Rapid physical assessment of the injured child. J Endod 2013;39(3 Suppl):S9–S12.
18. Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment and prognosis of coma after head injury. Acta Neurochir (Wien) 1976;34:45–55.
19. Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. A practical scale. Lancet 1974;2:81–84.
20. Friedman J, Marcus MI. Transillumination of the oral cavity with use of fiber optics. J Am Dent Assoc 1970;80:801–809.
21. Wright HM Jr, Loushine RJ, Weller RN, Kimbrough WF, Waller J, Pashley DH. Identification of resected root-end dentinal cracks: A comparative study of transillumination and dyes. J Endod 2004;30:712–715.
22. Heithersay GS. Clinical, radiologic, and histopathologic features of invasive cervical resorption. Quintessence Int 1999;30:27-37.
23. Rauckhorst AJ, Baumgartner JC. Zebra. XIX. Part 2. Oral herpes zoster. J Endod 2000;26:469–471.
24. Costa CPS, Thomaz EBAF, Souza SFC. Association between sickle cell anemia and pulp necrosis. J Endod 2013;39:177–181.
25. Berman LH, Rotstein I. Diagnosis. In: Berman LH, Hargreaves KH (eds). Cohen's Pathways of the Pulp, ed 12. St. Louis: Elsevier, 2020:1–33.
26. Rivera E, Walton RE. Cracking the cracked tooth code: Detection and treatment of various longitudinal tooth fractures. Chicago: American Association of Endodontics, 2008.

27. Ricucci D, Loghin S, Siqueira JF Jr. Correlation between clinical and histologic pulp diagnoses. J Endod 2014;40:1932–1939.
28. Bender IB. Pulpal pain diagnosis—A review. J Endod 2000;26:175–179.
29. Fulling HJ, Andreasen JO. Influence of maturation status and tooth type of permanent teeth upon electrometric and thermal pulp testing. Scand J Dent Res 1976;84:286-290.
30. Bhaskar SN, Rappaport HM. Dental vitality tests and pulp status. J Am Dent Assoc 1973;86:409–411.
31. Mainkar A, Kim SG. Diagnostic accuracy of 5 dental pulp tests: A systematic review and meta-analysis. J Endod 2018;44:694–702.
32. White J, Cooley RL. A quantitative evaluation of thermal pulp testing. J Endod 1977;3:453–457.
33. Hazard ML, Wicker C, Qian F, Williamson AE, Teixeira FB. Accuracy of cold sensibility testing on teeth with full-coverage restorations: A clinical study. Int Endod J 2021;54:1008–1015.
34. Miller SO, Johnson JD, Allemang JD, Strother JM. Cold testing through full-coverage restorations. J Endod 2004;30:695–700.
35. Keir DM, Walker WA 3rd, Schindler WG, Dazey SE. Thermally induced pulpalgia in endodontically treated teeth. J Endod 1991;17:38–40.
36. Bierma MM, McClanahan S, Baisden MK, Bowles WR. Comparison of heat-testing methodology. J Endod 2012;38:1106–1109.
37. Wilson BL, Broberg C, Baumgartner JC, Harris C, Kron J. Safety of electronic apex locators and pulp testers in patients with implanted cardiac pacemakers or cardioverter/defibrillators. J Endod 2006;32:847–852.
38. Ketterl W. Age-induced changes in the teeth and their attachment apparatus. Int Dent J 1983;33:262–271.
39. Seltzer S, Bender IB, Nazimov H. Differential diagnosis of pulp conditions. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1965;19:383–391.
40. Owatz CB, Khan AA, Schindler WG, Schwartz SA, Keiser K, Hargreaves KM. The incidence of mechanical allodynia in patients with irreversible pulpitis. J Endod 2007;33:552–556.
41. AAE and AAOMR joint position statement: Use of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics 2015 update. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol 2015;120:508–512.
42. AAE and AAOMR joint position statement: Use of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics 2015 update [editorial]. J Endod 2015;41:1393–1396.
43. Brynolf I. Roentgenologic periapical diagnosis. II. One, two or more roentgenograms? Sven Tandlak Tidskr 1970;63:345–350.
44. Robinson D, Goerig AC, Neaverth EJ. Endodontic access: An update, Part I. Compendium 1989;10:290–292, 294–296, 298.
45. American Association of Endodontists. Recommended Guidelines of the American Association of Endodontists for the Treatment of Traumatic Dental Injuries. 2013. https://www.aae.org/specialty/wp-content/uploads/sites/2/2019/02/19_TraumaGuidelines.pdf. Accessed 16 March 2023.
46. Bender IB, Seltzer S. Roentgenographic and direct observation of experimental lesions in bone: II. 1961. J Endod 2003;29:707–712.
47. Vande Voorde HE, Bjorndahl AM. Estimating endodontic "working length" with paralleling radiographs. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1969;27:106–110.
48. Chang L, Umorin M, Augsburger RA, Glickman GN, Jalali P. Periradicular lesions in cancellous bone can be detected radiographically. J Endod 2020;46:496–501.
49. Gutmann JL, Endo C. Clark's Rule vis a vis the buccal object rule: Its evolution & application in endodontics. J Hist Dent 2011;59:12–15.
50. Patel S, Dawood A, Mannocci F, Wilson R, Pitt Ford T. Detection of periapical bone defects in human jaws using cone beam computed tomography and intraoral radiography. Int Endod J 2009;42:507–515.
51. Lofthag-Hansen S, Huumonen S, Gröndahl K, Gröndahl HG. Limited cone-beam CT and intraoral radiography for the diagnosis of periapical pathology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2007;103:114–119.
52. Low KMT, Dula K, Bürgin W, von Arx T. Comparison of periapical radiography and limited cone-beam tomography in posterior maxillary teeth referred for apical surgery. J Endod 2008;34:557–562.
53. Ludlow JB, Ivanovic M. Comparative dosimetry of dental CBCT devices and 64-slice CT for oral and maxillofacial radiology. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2008;106:106–114.
54. Ludlow JB, Timothy R, Walker C, et al. Effective dose of dental CBCT—A meta analysis of published data and additional data for nine CBCT units. Dentomaxillofac Radiol 2015;44:20140197.
55. Pauwels R, Beinsberger J, Collaert B, et al. Effective dose range for dental cone beam computed tomography scanners. Eur J Radiol 2012;81:267–271.
56. Farman AG. ALARA still applies. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 2005;100:395–397.
57. Patel S, Brown J, Semper M, Abella F, Mannocci F. European Society of Endodontology position statement: Use of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics: European Society of Endodontology (ESE) developed by. Int Endod J 2019;52:1675–1678.

58. Fayad MI. The impact of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics: A new era in diagnosis and treatment planning. American Association of Endodontics, 2018. https://www.aae.org/specialty/newsletter/the-impact-of-cone-beam-computed-tomography-in-endodontics-a-new-era-in-diagnosis-and-treatment-planning. Accessed 16 March 2023.
59. Costa FF, Gaia BF, Umetsubo OS, Cavalcanti MGP. Detection of horizontal root fracture with small-volume cone-beam computed tomography in the presence and absence of intracanal metallic post. J Endod 2011;37:1456–1459.
60. Scarfe WC, Levin MD, Gane D, Farman AG. Use of cone beam computed tomography in endodontics. Int J Dent 2009;2009:634567.
61. Setzer FC, Shi KJ, Zhang Z, et al. Artificial intelligence for the computer-aided detection of periapical lesions in cone-beam computed tomographic images. J Endod 2020;46:987–993.
62. Lam EWN, Mallya SM. White and Pharaoh's Oral Radiology: Principles and Interpretation, ed 8. St Louis: Mosby, 2018.
63. Brynolf I. A Histological and Roentgenological Study of the Periapical Region of Human Upper Incisors [thesis]. Stockholm: Almqvist and Wiksell, 1967.
64. Peters E, Lau M. Histopathologic examination to confirm diagnosis of periapical lesions: A review. J Can Dent Assoc 2003;69:598–600.
65. Lalonde ER. A new rationale for the management of periapical granulomas and cysts: An evaluation of histopathological and radiographic findings. J Am Dent Assoc 1970;80:1056–1059.
66. Weissman J, Johnson JD, Anderson M, et al. Association between the presence of apical periodontitis and clinical symptoms in endodontic patients using cone-beam computed tomography and periapical radiographs. J Endod 2015;41:1824–1829.
67. Sener S, Cobankara FK, Akgünlü F. Calcifications of the pulp chamber: Prevalence and implicated factors. Clin Oral Investig 2009;13:209–215.
68. Pettiette MT, Wright JT, Trope M. Dentinogenesis imperfecta: Endodontic implications. Case report. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1998;86:733–737.
69. Pettiette MT, Zhong S, Moretti AJ, Khan AA. Potential correlation between statins and pulp chamber calcification. J Endod 2013;39:1119–1123.
70. Gold SI. Root canal calcification associated with prednisone therapy: A case report. J Am Dent Assoc 1989;119:523–525.
71. Sayegh FS, Reed AJ. Calcification in the dental pulp. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol 1968;25:873–882.
72. Edds AC, Walden JE, Scheetz JP, Goldsmith LJ, Drisko CL, Eleazer PD. Pilot study of correlation of pulp stones with cardiovascular disease. J Endod 2005;31:504–506.
73. Robertson A, Andreasen FM, Bergenholtz G, Andreasen JO, Norén JG. Incidence of pulp necrosis subsequent to pulp canal obliteration from trauma of permanent incisors. J Endod 1996;22:557–560.
74. Blicher B, Pryles RL. The use of selective anesthesia in endodontic diagnosis. Compend Contin Educ Dent 2021;42:498–575.
75. White JJ, Reader A, Beck M, Meyers WJ. The periodontal ligament injection: A comparison of the efficacy in human maxillary and mandibular teeth. J Endod 1988;14:508–514.

Index



Page numbers followed by "t" denote tables; those followed by "f" denote figures.

A

B

C

D

J

K

L

M

N

O

Index

P

T

U

V

W

Z