

## AN AUDIT OF THE SAFETY AND EFFICACY OF PRE-ADMISSION DIAZEPAM PRIOR TO GENERAL ANAESTHESIA IN OLCHC

Mc Carra C, Fitzgerald, K Dental Department OLCHC

#### **Introduction**

Benzodiazepines may be prescribed for anxiolysis to aid a patient's journey in the hospital setting. The purpose of this audit was to assess the safety and efficacy of oral diazepam as a preattendance medication prior to admission for dental treatment under general anaesthesia.

A pre-admission sedation regime was implemented in the OLCHC dental department in 2013 following a succession of difficult G.A. inductions requiring intramuscular ketamine and much clinical holding. This resulted in a distressing and challenging experience for the patients, family, and staff.

# Dose Regime (5) (10) (5) (10)

#### Fig 1: A 5mg and 10mg Diazepam tablet

20-30kg 5mg night before and 5mg morning of treatment 30kg + 10mg night before and 10mg morning of treatment

safety, and

fficacy

#### **Benchmark**

National Clinical Guideline Centre - Sedation in Children and Young People, 2010, and the American Academy of Paediatric Dentistry guidelines on monitoring and management of paediatric patients before, during, and after sedation, 2016.

- Benchmark set so that safety was achieved in 100% of cases, i.e. 100% of those who took the prescribed dose of oral diazepam suffered nil adverse reactions and the sedation achieved was not deeper than the target depth.
- Efficacy, i.e the extent to which a clinical intervention is active, was set so that ≥80% of those receiving the medication benefited from its anxiolytic effect. Efficacy was graded as follows: Fully effective, moderate, and not effective.
- The Richmond Agitation sedation scale used as a guide in grading efficacy.

## <u>Methodology</u>



#### **Results-efficacy**



#### Results - Need for additional premedication



Additional pre-med needed 69%
No additional premed 16%
Not documented 16%

#### **Discussion**

- The ideal minimal sedation technique is one that can achieve the target depth of anxiolytic action while the patient can respond to stimuli and maintain vital reflexes.
- Safety of oral diazepam was excellent at 100%.
- Efficacy in achieving desirable anxiolysis was close to but below benchmark of 75%. Failure to document efficacy of sedation is a limitation to this outcome.
- An additional pre-medication was needed in a high percentage of cases.

## **Conclusion**

- Oral diazepam as pre-admission sedation was found to be 100% safe with nil adverse reaction recorded.
- Efficacy was close to achieving desired benchmark of ≥80%.
- The use of oral diazepam does not negate the need for

Sample n=71	who rece sedatio prescrip include	on details, tion ef
Exclusion based or failure to take prescription and failure to take	Results Safe	<u>ety</u>
correct dose	n=71	
on pre o C	ded based determined riteria n=64	Safety at 100%

additional pre-medication.

#### **Recommendations**

1. Introduction of objective evaluation form to document sedation efficacy using multi-disciplinary approach with input from parent, clinician, admitting nurse, and anaesthetic team.

2. Re-audit in two years' time to assess for any improvements in documentation following implementation of evaluation form.

#### **References**

- National Clinical Guideline Centre. Sedation in Children and Young People, sedation for diagnostic and therapeutic procedures in young people. 2010; Available at https://www.nice.org.uk.
- American Academy of Paediatric Dentistry. Guideline for monitoring and management of Paediatric Patients before, during and after sedation for Diagnostic and Therapeutic Procedures: Update 2016. 2016;38(6):16-17