

## **ORAL HEALTH / PERIODONTOLOGY**

# Consideration of Oral Health and Periodontal Diseases During Pregnancy: Knowledge and Behaviour Among French Pregnant Women

Catherine Petit<sup>a</sup> / Juliette Benezech<sup>b</sup> / Jean-Luc Davideau<sup>c</sup> / Virginie Hamann<sup>d</sup> / Nicolas Tuzin<sup>e</sup> / Olivier Huck<sup>f</sup>

**Purpose:** Several studies demonstrated compromised oral health and periodontal diseases as risk factors for adverse pregnancy outcomes. However, consideration of oral health by pregnant women remains elusive. The aim of this study was to evaluate knowledge and behaviour of French pregnant women towards relationship between oral conditions and pregnancy outcomes and to evaluate influencing factors.

**Materials and Methods:** A self-reported questionnaire was given to women between 1 and 3 days after delivery in three specialised clinics in France. The questionnaire aimed to evaluate demographic characteristics, self-perceived oral health, type of pregnancy follow-up and knowledge regarding oral conditions during pregnancy and risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes. A multivariate analysis was performed to evaluate correlation between knowledge and behaviour.

**Results:** The questionnaire was completed by 212 women. Among them, 92% considered prevention of oral diseases during pregnancy important. Despite knowledge of potential negative influence of periodontal diseases on pregnancy outcomes, only 47% of pregnant women received dental diagnosis or treatment during pregnancy. Only 18% of the women discussed oral health consideration during pregnancy with health professional in charge of pregnancy follow-up. Interestingly, absence of dental consultation during pregnancy was associated with low rate of dental consultation prior to pregnancy (p < 0.01).

**Conclusions:** Pregnant women were aware of the association between oral health and pregnancy and of need of prevention. However, consideration of importance of oral health was not adequate to the rate of dental consultation and seems to be influenced by individual dental follow-up habits prior to pregnancy.

Clinical Relevance: Dental evaluation should be considered systematically during pregnancy follow-up.

**Keywords:** oral health; questionnaire; prevention; risk factor

Oral Health Prev Dent 2021; 19: 33-42. doi: 10.3290/j.ohpd.b875513

Submitted for publication: 15.07.2019; accepted for publication: 06.12.2019

Several studies highlighted the bidirectional link between oral conditions and pregnancy as pregnant woman are more prone to have compromised oral health.<sup>26</sup> Indeed, a higher risk of caries and periodontal diseases as well as

their local morbidity are observed.<sup>28</sup> Pregnancy is clinically associated to an increase of the prevalence and/or the severity of the gingivitis characterised by gingival bleeding and swelling and of the prevalence and/or the severity of peri-

- <sup>a</sup> Associate Professor, Université de Strasbourg, Faculté de Chirurgie Dentaire, Periodontology, Strasbourg, France; Pole de médecine et chirurgie bucco-dentaire, parodontologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France. Analysed the clinical data; wrote the draft.
- b Student Midwife, Ecole des Sages-femmes, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France. Collected the data; edited and commented on the manuscript.
- <sup>c</sup> Professor, Université de Strasbourg, Faculté de Chirurgie Dentaire, Periodontology, Strasbourg, France; Pole de médecine et chirurgie bucco-dentaire, parodontologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France. Conceived the study, analysed the clinical data; wrote the draft.
- d Midwife, Ecole des Sages-femmes, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France. Collected the data; edited and commented on the manuscript.
- <sup>e</sup> Engineer, Groupe de Méthodes en Recherche Clinique, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France. Analysed the clinical data; edited and commented on the manuscript.
- f Professor, Université de Strasbourg, Faculté de Chirurgie Dentaire, Periodontology, Strasbourg, France; Pole de médecine et chirurgie bucco-dentaire, parodontologie, Hôpitaux Universitaires de Strasbourg, Strasbourg, France. Conceived the study, analysed the clinical data; wrote the draft.

**Correspondence:** Professor Olivier Huck, Department of Periodontology, Dental faculty, 8 rue Sainte-Elisabeth 67000 Strasbourg, France. Tel: +00-33-388116947; E-mail:o.huck@unistra.fr

doi: 10.3290/j.ohpd.b875513

**Table 1** Demographic characteristics

Demographic characteristics	Study's population
Age (years) (n; (%) <20 20–35 >35	2 (1) 159 (75) 51 (24)
Weight before pregnancy (kg) (mean; (SD))	65.4 (13.2)
BMI (mean; (SD))	23.9 (4.5)
Smoking (n; (%)) Yes No	29 (14) 183 (86)
Profession (n; (%)) Higher managerial and professional occupations Intermediate occupation Routine occupation Non-working Student	37 (17.5) 42 (20) 82 (39) 49 (23) 1 (05)

Table 2 Characteristics of pregnancy

Pregnancy	Study's population
Number of pregnancy (n; (%)) 1 2 3 >3	83 (39) 60 (28) 32 (15) 37 (18)
Number of children (n; (%)) 1 2 3 >3	100 (47) 69 (33) 24 (11) 19 (9)
Pregnancy follow-up by: (n; (%)) Physician Midwife Gynaecologist/obstetrician	10 (4.7) 68 (32) 172 (80)
Time of delivery (n; (%)) >37 weeks between 32 and 36 weeks <32 weeks	188 (90) 20 (10) 0 (0)

odontitis, characterised by the increase of periodontal probing depth, clinical attachment and bone losses. 4,26,32 Caries and periodontal diseases are defined as infectious diseases due to oral biofilms: supragingival biofilm for caries, supragingival and subgingival biofilms for periodontal diseases.<sup>37</sup> During pregnancy, the quantity and virulence of the oral microbiome are modified as more viable counts are observed, such as detection of well-described periodontal pathogens *Porphyromonas gingivalis* and *Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans*.<sup>35</sup>

Moreover, the systemic influence of periodontal diseases on adverse pregnancy outcomes, including miscarriage, preeclampsia, preterm birth and low birth weight, has also been shown  $^{14,34,36}$  even if it remains still under investigation.  $^{19}$  Adverse pregnancy outcomes, including preterm birth, are considered the leading cause of neonatal mortality  $^{30}$  and around 6% of births in France occurred before 37 weeks.  $^{11}$  The main hypotheses linking these two conditions are the bacterial spreading and the sustained chronic cytokines release from periodontal lesions to foeto-maternal unit but also shared risk factors such as smoking or obesity.  $^{26}$ 

The course of pregnancy is a particular experience for women and its management comprises prevention and control of identified risk factors, such as smoking or obesity, to reduce risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes<sup>12,20</sup> but also to prevent all events that may affect quality of life. Accordingly, smoking cessation strategies are of importance in the global management well-known by pregnant women.<sup>29</sup> Recommendations regarding oral health are also part of the pregnant women follow-up,<sup>3,24</sup> however this topic is not systematically discuss with pregnancy professionals (obstetricians, gynae-

cologists, midwives, nurses or physicians) despite awareness of its importance. 2,13,21,22,38,43,44 Unfortunately, most of available data showed that pregnant women have a poor knowledge and misconception regarding oral health and dental treatment during pregnancy. 41,42 This lack of knowledge may be due to insufficient information received during the pregnancy follow-up as oral health is not often discuss with patients. This results in disparities regarding dental care use among pregnant women as observed in USA (70%)44 and in France (56%).<sup>42</sup> Such consultation rate during pregnancy could be considered as relatively low, despite a preventive program including free dental consultation as established in France. Interestingly, several influencing factors have been identified explaining this lack of dental treatment such as socioeconomic factors or women's lack of receiving dental treatment before pregnancy.

The aim of this study was to evaluate periodontal knowledge and behaviour related to the oral health of pregnant women and to determine influencing factors during pregnancy follow-up in a French population.

## **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### **Study Design and Population**

This study was performed using a self-administered questionnaire constituted 38 questions assessing demographical data, pregnancy follow-up, oral hygiene habits and oral and periodontal diseases-related knowledge. The questionnaire was pilot-tested to validate and to ensure full compre-

Table 3 Pregnant woman's knowledge

Oral disease/pregnancy interactions awareness	Study's population (n; [%])
Oral health discussed with professional in charge of pregnancy follow-up	25 (40.0)
Yes No	35 (18.2) 157 (81.8)
Are you aware of the feasibility of dental consultation during pregnancy?	
Yes No	165 (80.9) 39 (19.1)
Is the prevention and treatment of oral diseases important during pregnancy?	
Yes No	188 (92) 16 (8)
Are you aware of putative influence of oral hygiene, periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes?	
Yes No	72 (35.6) 130 (64.4)
Which mechanisms could explain this link?	
Bacterial spreading  Dental pain induces uterine contraction	74 (44.6)
Release of inflammation/infection by- products induced inflammation at distance	25 (15.1)
Anxiety that increase uterine contractions Fatigue associated to oral disease	21 (12.6) 6 (3.8)
impeach delivery Don't know	1 (0.6) 80 (43.2)
Do you think that dental follow-up/ treatment during pregnancy is of	
importance? (n; (%)) Yes No	188 (92.2) 16 (7.8)

 Table 4
 Attitude and behaviour during pregnancy

Oral health related characteristics	Study's population (n; [%])
Self-perception of oral health (1 = very poor; 10; very good) Mean (SD) <4 (poor) 4 to 7 (average) >7 (good)	5 (2.4) 72 (34.6) 131 (63)
Toothbrushing (n/day) >2/d 1/d <1/d	156 (74) 52 (24) 3 (1.4)
Dental consultation (n/year) >2/yr 1/yr <1/yr Never	35 (17) 112 (53) 54 (25.5) 10 (4.5)
Presence of periodontal disease before pregnancy (n; (%)) Yes No	7 (3.3) 204 (96.7)
Adverse oral condition during pregnancy Dental erosion Caries Periodontitis Gingivitis Epulis Pain Abscess Other	6 (5.6) 39 (36.5) 19 (17.8) 53 (49.5) 1 (0.9) 7 (6.5) 5 (4.7) 3 (2.8)
Dental consultation during pregnancy Yes No	99 (47) 112 (53)
Dental treatment during pregnancy Yes No	53 (25) 158 (75)

hension of the questions. The protocol of this study was approved by Institutional Review Board (ref: 2017-86). Questionnaires were randomly submitted in three specialised obstetrical hospitals in France, during a 3-month time period, to women between 1 and 3 days after delivery in France. Participants entered the study voluntarily following an explanation of its objectives. Questionnaire, in French, included multiple-choice, yes/no and Likert scale questions. Around 15 min were necessary to answer all questions.

#### **Statistical Analysis**

Data were analysed using a personal computer-based software. A descriptive analysis first presented the characteristics of the patients. The quantitative variables were described using the mean and standard deviation. The qualitative variables were presented in terms of numbers

and percentages. In a second step, a univariate analysis was carried out between the different questions. The qualitative variables were compared using the Chi-square test if the conditions were met, otherwise the exact Fisher test. The quantitative variables were compared using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) if the conditions were met, otherwise the Kruskal-Wallis test. The Gaussian distributions of the quantitative variables were evaluated graphically and using the Shapiro-Wilk test. Finally, multivariate analyses were performed using logistic regression when the dependent variable had two groups and multinomial regression when the dependent variable had at least three groups. For each regression, the odds ratio (OR) with its 95% confidence interval was presented. A p value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. The analyses were carried out using software R version 3.5.0.

**Table 5** Analysis of factors associated with oral hygiene habits of the participants during pregnancy (\* p <0.05 after multivariate analysis). N corresponds to number of respondents related to demographic characteristics

	Demographic and periodontal	Poen	Respondents (N) Yes n(%)		Answer			
Question	characteristics	Resp			Yes n(%)		No n(%)	p value
Are you using fluoride	Age							
toothpaste?	<25 years old		2		2 (100)		0 (0)	0.004*
	25–35 years old		156	70	0 (44.9)		86 (55.1)	(OR = 0.39)
	>35 years old		50	3	34 (68)		16 (32)	
	Oral health status note	•						
	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent (mean= 7.6)	:	205	10	5 (51.2)		100 (48.8)	0.44
Are you using dental	Age							
floss or interdental brushes?	<25 years old		2		0 (0)		2 (100)	0.02*
	25–35 years old		159	4	13 (27)		116 (73)	(OR = 0.45)
	>35 years old		51	23	3 (45.1)		28 (54.9)	
	Oral health status note	•						
	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent (Mean 7.6)	:	208		64 (30.7)		144 (69.3)	0.26
			Answer					
Question	Demographic and periodontal characteristics	Responder		ss than months	6	etween 6 and months	More than 1 year	p value
The reasons why you	Oral health status sco	re						
consult a dentist is for a regular check-up	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent	208	78	3 (37.5)	125	5 (60.1)	5 (2.4)	0.0002* (OR = 1.378
The reasons why you	Oral health status sco	re						
visit a dentist is related to toothache/ pain	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent	208	63	3 (30.3)	140	0 (67.3)	5 (2.4)	0.002
The reasons why you	Oral health status sco	re						
visit a dentist is related to bleeding while brushing	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent	208	17	3 (83.2)	30	(14.4)	5 (2.4)	0.57
The reasons why you	Oral health status sco	re						
visit a dentist is related to the free consultation proposed during pregnancy	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent	208	16	5 (79.3)	38	(18.3)	5 (2.4)	0.04
	Domographia		Answer					
Question	Demographic and periodontal characteristics	Respondents (N)	2 to 3 times/ year	ar Once	a year	Less than		p value
How often do you	Age							
consult a dentist?	<25 years old	2	0 (0)	0	(0)	1 (50)	1 (50)	0.11
	25–35 years old	158	7 (4.4)	43 (	27.2)	85 (53.8)	23 (14.6)	_
=								_

#### **RESULTS**

## **Demographic Data and Obstetrical Follow-Up**

Demographic and pregnancy follow-up characteristics are presented in Tables 1 and 2. A total of 212 women were accepted to enter the study. Seventy-five per cent (75%) of the population were between 20 and 35 years old and 24% were over 35 years. Only 2 women were under 20 years at delivery. Delivery occurred after 37 weeks for 90% of the patients while 10% occurred between 32 and 36 weeks. Most of the participants were considered non-obese as only 68 (32%) had a body mass index (BMI) over 25 and only 3 women were considered as heavy smokers (> 10 cig/day). Eighty-three (39%) participants were having their first pregnancy, 60 (28%) their second and 69 more than 2 (33%).

For 68.8% of the women, pregnancy follow-up was performed by an obstetrician/gynaecologist only, 27.2% by a midwife only and less than 4% was exclusively followed by a physician. No influence of age, weight and smoking status has been observed on the type of pregnancy follow-up or time of delivery (p >0.05).

#### **Periodontal Diseases Knowledge and Awareness**

For 92% of the women, prevention of oral diseases during pregnancy is considered important. However, during pregnancy, information related to oral health has been given by pregnancy professionals to only 18.2% of the sample population. Regarding potential influence of low oral hygiene/periodontal diseases on adverse pregnancy outcomes, 35.6% of the population was aware of an increased risk and cited bacterial spreading from oral cavity to foeto-placenta unit as a biological explanation. Interestingly, media such as TV, magazines or newspapers were the source of knowledge for 16% of the sample (Table 3).

#### **Oral Health Status During Pregnancy**

Most of the participants were felt to have a good oral health (score >7 for 63%) (Table 4). This score is commensurate with oral hygiene habits, as 74% declared to brush their teeth at least two times per day for at least 2 min (62%) and with fluoride toothpaste (51%). Only 31% of the pregnant women used interdental brushes or floss. Around 70% consult their dentist at least once per year with approximatively 55% of them for routine check-up and treatments (scaling and caries treatment). Only 7 patients were followed for periodontal diseases prior to pregnancy. However, during pregnancy, 99 pregnant women (47%) have been seen by their dentist, mostly for check-up. Interestingly, the reasons for not being willing to be seen by a dentist were the absence of dental symptoms (42.7%), the lack of time (25%) or the lack of information regarding feasibility of dental treatment during pregnancy (14%). Most of the consultations or dental treatments have been performed during the second trimester. Multivariate analysis demonstrated that age and self-perceived oral health status were associated to the use of fluoride toothpaste, use of interproximal devices and frequency of dental check-up (Table 5).

## Influencing Factors Associated with Knowledge Towards Oral Health During Pregnancy

To determine which factor influences oral health related knowledge of pregnant women, a multivariate analysis has been conducted including all potential influencing factors identified through a univariate analysis with a p value < 0.2 (Table 6). Women aware of a possible dental consultation during pregnancy were more prone to have a high self-perceived oral health status (OR = 1.23), regularly consult their dentist, and have been followed by an obstetrician/gynaecologist (OR = 2.33). Knowledge related to the influence of oral hygiene and oral diseases on pregnancy outcomes was more important in women having attended dental consultation recently (<1 year), having a high self-perceived oral health status, having been followed by an obstetrician/gynaecologist and having several children. Interestingly, women considering prevention and management of dental diseases during pregnancy of importance have been seen during pregnancy by their dentist (<1 year) (OR = 0.11).

## Influencing Factors Associated with Oral Health Consideration During Pregnancy

Dental management of pregnant women is of importance, however, as observed previously all pregnant women did not attend dental consultation during pregnancy. Indeed, women with high self-perceived oral health status (OR = 1.30) were more prone to consult their dentist, while those having already several children consulted less (OR = 0.67) (Table 7). Interestingly, same factors were correlated with the experience of caries during pregnancy (OR = 0.48 and 1.80, respectively). It has been observed that women were used to visiting their dentist at least once per year for regular checkups, and attended more dental consultations during pregnancy (p <0.001). However, no influence of pregnancy professionals having followed the pregnancy was observed.

#### **DISCUSSION**

In this study, it was observed that pregnant French women consider oral conditions of importance during the course of pregnancy. However, only a reduced proportion of the surveyed population attended dental consultation for preventive examination or dental treatment. Analysis of the influencing factors showed that management of oral conditions is more important for women having regular follow-ups at their dentist before pregnancy. Therefore, a specific emphasis should be made on women with irregular dental follow-up before pregnancy.

Oral health, especially associated to the presence of periodontal diseases, has impact on quality of life as demonstrated in general population.<sup>18</sup> In pregnant women, it has been demonstrated that periodontal health and oral health-related quality of life are poorer than in non-pregnant women in several populations.<sup>23,33</sup> Some contributing factors have been identified such as tooth loss, need of prosthesis but also the presence of caries<sup>29</sup> highlighting the need of diagnosis and treatment early during pregnancy.

 $\textbf{Table 6} \quad \text{Analysis of factors associated with patient's knowledge during pregnancy (* p < 0.05 after multivariate analysis). N corresponds to number of respondents related to demographic characteristics$ 

	Demographic	Pochandanta	Ans	wer	
Question	and periodontal character- istics	Respondents — (N)	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	p value
Patient knows that a dental	Age				
consultation is possible during pregnancy	<25 years old	2	0 (0)	2 (100)	0.02
	25–35 years old	154	123 (79.9)	31 (20.1)	
	>35 years old	48	42 (87.5)	6 (12.5)	
	Oral health status note				
	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent	201	163 (81.1)	38 (18.9)	0.048* (OR = 1.23
	Patients who never consult dentists				
	Yes	10	2 (20)	8 (80)	0.0003*
	No	194	163 (84.1)	31 (15.9)	(OR = 0.03
	When was your last visit to the dentist				
	Less than 1 year	149	127 (85.2)	22 (14.7)	0.03
	More than 1 year	55	38 (69.1)	17 (30.9)	
	Pregnancy follow-up by a midwife				
	Yes	66	51 (77.3)	15 (22.7)	0.45
	No	138	114 (82.6)	24 (17.4)	
	Pregnancy monitoring by obstetrician or gynaecologist				
	Yes	165	138 (83.6)	27 (16.4)	0.041*
	No	39	27 (69.2)	12 (30.7)	(OR = 2.3
Patient knows that there may be a link between oral hygiene or	When was your last visit to the dentist				
gum diseases and adverse pregnancy outcomes	Less than 1 year	147	63 (42.8)	84 (57.1)	0.0008
9	More than 1 year	55	9 (16.4)	46 (83.6)	(OR = 0.24
	Pregnancy follow-up by a midwife				
	Yes	66	51 (77.3)	15 (22.7)	0.75
	No	138	48 (34.8)	90 (65.2)	
	Pregnancy monitoring by obstetrician or gynaecologist				
	Yes	165	59 (35.8)	106 (64.2)	1.00
	No	37	13 (35.1)	24 (64.9)	
	Pregnancy follow-up by a physician				
	Yes	10	3 (30)	7 (70)	100
	No	192	69 (35.9)	123 (64.1)	
	Did you consult a dentist during your pregnancy?				
	Yes, after having received the invitation from health insurance	47	23 (48.9)	24 (51.1)	0.04
	Yes, for another reason	52	20 (38.5)	32 (61.5)	
	No	103	29 (28.1)	74 (71.9)	
Known link between periodontal	Oral health status score				
disease and pre-eclampsia (high blood pressure, oedema, protein in the urine resulting from	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent	49	11 (22.5)	38 (77.5)	0.02 (OR = 2.82
placental insufficiency)	How many children do you have so far				
	From 1 to 5	49	11 (22.45)	38 (77.55)	0.04* (OR = 0.20

	Demographic and periodontal character-	Respondents —	Ans		
Question	istics	(N)	Yes n (%)	No n (%)	p value
Patients do not know how	When was your last visit to the dentist				
periodontal diseases can be linked to adverse pregnancy	Less than one year	121	49 (40.5)	72 (59.5)	0.009*
outcomes	More than 1 year	45	31 (68.9)	14 (31.1)	(OR = 2.80
	Yes, for another reason	46	8 (17.4)	38 (82.6)	
	No	93	3 (3.3)	90 (96.7)	
Patients do not know that a link	Oral health status score				
exists between oral hygiene and adverse pregnancy outcomes?	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent	182	90 (49.5)	92 (50.5)	0.018* (OR= 0.80
	When was your last visit to the dentist				
	Less than one year	137	56 (40.8)	81 (59.2)	0.000*
	More than 1 year	47	36 (76.6)	11 (23.4)	(OR= 6.31
	Pregnancy follow-up by a midwife				
	Yes	147	76 (51.7)	71 (48.3)	0.46
	No	37	16 (43.2)	21 (56.8)	
	Pregnancy follow-up by a physician				
	Yes	6	2 (33.3)	4 (66.7)	0.68
	No	178	90 (50.6)	88 (49.4)	<del></del>
Does the patient think that the	When was your last visit to the dentist				
management or prevention of dental problems in pregnant	Less than 1 year	152	149 (98.02)	3 (1.98)	0.0001*
women is important?	More than 1 year	52	39 (75)	13 (25)	(OR = 0.119
	Pregnancy follow-up by a midwife				
	Yes	67	58 (86.6)	9 (13.4)	0.045*
	No	137	130 (94.9)	7 (5.1)	(OR = 0.34
	Pregnancy monitoring by obstetrician or gynaecologist				
	Yes	67	58 (86.6)	9 (13.4)	0.09
	No	137	130 (94.9)	7 (5.1)	
	Pregnancy follow-up by a physician				
	Yes	10	9 (90)	1 (10)	0.57
	No	194	179 (92.3)	15 (7.7)	

In this study, only 47% of the women visited their dentist during pregnancy. This reduced proportion of patients is in accordance with other study performed in France (44%)<sup>33</sup> but also with studies conducted in USA or Greece.<sup>9,16,39</sup> However, this proportion could be considered low, especially as in France, a free dental consultation is systematically proposed by health insurance during the second trimester. This reduced number of patients attending dental consultation may be due to lack of prevention by professionals in charge of pregnancy follow-up. As observed in this survey,

less than 20% of the pregnant women discussed oral health during gynaecological consultation. This low consideration by professionals is related to different factors. In a study conducted among French obstetricians/gynaecologists, it was observed that these professionals have knowledge of the inflammatory and infectious nature of periodontal diseases and also considered bidirectional relationship between pregnancy and periodontal diseases. <sup>13</sup> However, despite this reliable knowledge, a discrepancy between knowledge and clinical behaviour was observed. Indeed,

**Table 7** Analysis of factors associated with oral health examination, dental and periodontal treatment during pregnancy (\*p <0.05 after multivariate analysis). N corresponds to number of respondents related to demographic characteristics

Question						
	Demographic and periodontal characteristics	Respondents (N)	Yes, after having received the invitation from Health Insurance	Yes, for another reason	No	p value
Did you consult a	Oral health status score					
dentist during your pregnancy?	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent	207	47 (22.7)	51 (24.6)	109 (52.6)	0.02* (OR = 1.2977
	How many children do you have so far					
	From 1 to 5	211	47 (22.3)	52 (24.6)	112 (53.1)	0.0491* (OR = 0.6683
	Pregnancy follow-up by a midwife					
	Yes	68	14 (20.6)	20 (29.4)	34 (50)	0.70
	No	143	33 (23.1)	32 (22.4)	78 (54.5)	
	Pregnancy follow-up by a physician					
	Yes	10	3 (30)	3 (30)	4 (40)	0.65
	No	201	44 (21.9)	49 (24.4)	108 (53.7)	
Patients who had earies during	Age					
regnancy	<25 years old	0	0		0	0.44
	25-35 years old	61	21 (34.4)		40 (65.6)	
	>35 years old	22	10 (45.5)		12 (54.5)	_
	Oral health status score					
Fro	From 1 = very bad to 10 = excellent (Mean 7.6)	82	31 (2	24.4)	51 (62.2)	0.0001* (OR = 0.48)
	How many children do you have so far					
	From 1 to 5	83	31 (37.35)		52 (62.65)	0.031* (OR = 1.8)
	No	0 78 73 (93.6)		93.6)	5 (6.4)	
No dental	Age					
consultation during oregnancy because	<25 years old	2	2 (100)		0 (0)	0.03
patients think it is	25–35 years old	81	15 (18.5)		66 (81.5)	_
not possible	>35 years old	23	3 (13)		20 (87)	_
	Pregnancy follow-up		- (			
	Yes	33	7 (21.2)		26 (78.8)	0.79
	No	73	13 (17.8)		60 (82.2)	_
-	Pregnancy follow-up by a physician				, - ( <b>-</b> )	
	Yes	4	0 (	(0)	4 (100)	1.00
	No	102		L9.6)	82 (80.4)	_
	No	102		(2)	100 (98)	_
						_
	Yes, for another reason	52		23.1)	40 (76.9)	_
	No	93	6 (6	.45)	87 (93.55)	

most of the practitioners did not include in their consultation oral health-related interview due to lack of time and information but also because they don't consider it a priority (22%). This result was in accordance with other surveys conducted in France on obstetricians and midwives. $^{10,17}$ 

Treatment of oral diseases, especially periodontal diseases, is of importance before or during pregnancy. First of all, their efficacy and safety in terms of dental benefits and improvement of oral health-related quality of life is well described.<sup>6</sup> However, the effect of periodontal treatment on pregnancy outcomes remains still under investigation. Regarding periodontal diseases, data have been conflicting. Interventional studies performed during pregnancy showed that periodontal treatment was safe and improved periodontal parameters related outcomes. It also reduced systemic inflammatory markers such as C-reactive protein (CRP) or IL-6.27 However, its impact on incidence of adverse pregnancy outcomes such as low birth weight or preterm birth has not been fully demonstrated yet, even if successful periodontal treatment has been correlated to full-term birth. 15,27 False beliefs or misconceptions regarding dental treatments during pregnancy are still present in the population. In our study, around 20% of the surveyed patients were not aware of the safety and feasibility of dental care as observed in another study.9 This misbelief is also observable within dentists, as in France a recent survey showed that only 59.8% of practitioners believed that dental anaesthesia is not contraindicated and most practitioners believe that the best time for care is the second trimester or after pregnancy.31 Most importantly, some have concluded that even when dentists are aware of the association between periodontal diseases and complications of pregnancy, it doesn't directly affect their preventive or treatment strategies. 13 In this study, we also observed that knowledge and behaviour of pregnant women towards dental health and preventive care is influenced by several factors such as their own self-perceived dental status or regular follow-up by a dentist. However, no influence of a type of pregnancy professional having followed the pregnant women was determined, illustrating the need for reinforcing their consideration for oral health in the management of pregnant women.

The main limitation of this study is the reduced numbers of women with either low self-reported dental health but also women having faced an adverse pregnancy outcome, such as preterm birth. Inclusion of such women may be of interest to better understand their awareness and consideration for oral health as a potential risk factor for pregnancy.

During pregnancy, women are prone to change their noxious habits, thus encouraging preventive strategies. Multifaceted approaches could be used by non-dental professionals with interesting results. These approaches may combine different pedagogical tools including short video presentations, informative leaflets with provided oral hygiene instrumentations (toothbrush, toothpaste) and personalised counselling. As an example, it was demonstrated that the use of a short video explaining the principles of oral hygiene is effective to increase patient knowledge, at least at short

term, as observed in the general population.<sup>40</sup> Such counselling could be brief but should be performed routinely to enhance patient's self-directed change process<sup>25</sup> especially regarding oral hygiene improvement. Short-term educational programs have already shown their benefit on pregnant women's beliefs and behaviours,<sup>5</sup> however, a specific emphasis should be made on women not compliant with regular dental follow-up before pregnancy. Accordingly, preconception care should be envisaged as it has demonstrated benefit for both mothers and their children, especially, for women with other risk factors such as diabetes or obesity.<sup>7</sup> Indeed, as suggested by the American Public Health Association, an opportunistic discussion could be started if 'One Key Question' determining a potential project of pregnancy is routinely asked to women of reproductive age especially in the context of gynaecological check-up.8

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

Despite awareness of the importance of prevention and treatment of oral diseases during pregnancy, all pregnant women did not benefit from dental check-up or treatment. Effective preventive strategies should be proposed to allow the early diagnosis of periodontitis and also treatment of affected patients to reduce the associated risk of adverse pregnancy outcomes and to improve oral health-related quality of life during pregnancy. Such strategies should inform pregnant women during the first consultation for pregnancy follow-up but also women that are contemplating pregnancy.

### **Acknowledgements**

## Conflict of interest and source of funding

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests. This study was self-funded by the authors and their institution.

#### **REFERENCES**

- Abou El, Fadl R, Blair M, Hassounah S. Integrating maternal and children's oral health promotion into nursing and midwifery practice a systematic review. PloS One 2016;11:e0166760.
- Al-Habashneh R, Barghout N, Humbert L, Khader Y, Alwaeli H. Diabetes and oral health: doctors' knowledge, perception and practices. J Eval Clin Pract 2010;16:976–980.
- American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists Women's Health Care Physicians; Committee on Health Care for Underserved Women. Committee Opinion No.569: oral health care during pregnancy and through the lifespan. Obstet Gynecol 2013;122:417–422.
- Akcali A, Akcali Z, Batool F, Petit C, Huck O. Are sex steroids hormones influencing periodontal conditions? A systematic review. Curr Oral Health Rep 2018; doi:10.1007/s40496-018-0168-0.
- Bahri N, Tohidinik HR, Bahri N, Iliati HR, Moshki M, Darabi F. Educational intervention to improve oral health beliefs and behaviors during pregnancy: a randomized-controlled trial. J Egypt Public Health Assoc 2015;90:41–45.
- Baiju RM, Peter E, Varghese NO, Anju, P. Patient reported outcome assessment of periodontal therapy: a systematic review. J Clin Diag Res 2017;11:14–19.
- Bateson DJ, Black KI. Pre-conception care: an important yet underutilised preventive care strategy. Med J Aust 2018;209:389–391.
- Bellanca HK, Hunter MS. ONE KEY QUESTION®: preventive reproductive health is part of high quality primary care. Contraception 2013;88:3–6.

- Boggess KA, Urlaub DM, Moos MK, Polinkovsky M, El-Khorazaty J, Lorenz C. Knowledge and beliefs regarding oral health among pregnant women. J Am Dent Ass 2011;142:1275–1282.
- Boutigny H, de Moegen ML, Egea L, Badran Z, Boschin F, Delcourt-Debruyne E, et al. Oral infections and pregnancy: knowledge of gynecologists/obstetricians, midwives and dentists. Oral Health Prev Dent 2016;14:41–47.
- Buitendijk S, Zeitlin J, Cuttini, M, Langhoff-Roos J, Bott, J. Indicators of fetal and infant health outcomes. Eur J Obstet, Gynecol Reprod Biol 2003; 111:66–77.
- 12. Chandiramani M, Shennan A. Preterm labour: update on prediction and prevention strategies. Curr Opin Obstet Gynecol 2006;18:618–624.
- Cohen L, Schaeffer M, Davideau JL, Tenenbaum H, Huck O. Obstetrician's knowledge, attitude and behavior concerning periodontal diseases and treatment needs in pregnant women: situation and influencing factors in France. J Periodontol 2015;86:398–405.
- Corbella S, Taschieri S, Del Fabbro M, Francetti L, Weinstein R, Ferrazzi E. Adverse pregnancy outcomes and periodontitis: a systematic review and meta-analysis exploring potential association. Quintessence Int 2016;47: 193–204.
- 15. da Silva HEC, Stefani CM, de Santos Melo N, et al. Effect of intra-pregnancy nonsurgical periodontal therapy on inflammatory biomarkers and adverse pregnancy outcomes: a systematic review with meta-analysis. Syst Rev 2017;6:197.
- Dinas K, Achyropoulos V, Hatzipantelis E, Mavromatidis G, Zepiridis L, Theodoridis T, et al. Pregnancy and oral health: utilisation of dental services during pregnancy in northern Greece. Acta Obstet Gynecol Scand 2007;86:938–944.
- Egea L, Le Borgne H, Samson M, Boutigny H, Philippe HJ, Soueidan A. Oral infections and pregnancy: knowledge of health professionals Gynecol Obstet Fertil 2013;41:635–640.
- Ferreira MC, Dias-Pereira AC, Branco-de-Almeida LS, Martins CC, Paiva SM. Impact of periodontal disease on quality of life: a systematic review. J Periodontal Res 2017;79:1560–665.
- Fogacci MF, Cardoso E de OC, Barbirato DDS, de Carvalho DP, Sansone C.
   No association between periodontitis and preterm low birth weight: a case-control study. Arch Gynecol Obst 2017;297:71–76.
- Fuchs F, Senat MV, Rey E, Balayla J, Chaillet N, Bouyer J, et al. Impact of maternal obesity on the incidence of pregnancy complications in France and Canada. Sci Rep 2017;7:10859.
- Gambhir RS, Nirola A, Gupta T, Sekhon TS, Anand S. Oral health knowledge and awareness among pregnant women in India: a systematic review. J Indian Soc Periodontol 2015;19:612–617.
- Gaszyńska E, Klepacz-Szewczyk J, Trafalska E, Garus-Pakowska A, Szatko F. Dental awareness and oral health of pregnant women in Poland. Int J Occup Med Env Health 2015;28:603–611.
- Geevarghese A, Baskaradoss JK, Sarma PS. Oral health-related quality of life and periodontal status of pregnant women. Matern Child Health J 2017;21:1634–1642.
- George A, Shamim S, Johnson M, Dahlen H, Ajwani S, Bhole S, et al. How
  do dental and prenatal care practitioners perceive dental care during
  pregnancy? Current evidence and implications. Birth 2012;39:238–247.
- Herzig K, Danley D, Jackson R, Petersen R, Chamberlain L, Gerbert, B. Seizing the 9-month moment: addressing behavioral risks in prenatal patients. Patient Educ Counsel 2006;61:228–235.
- Huck O, Tenenbaum H, Davideau JL. Relationship between periodontal diseases and preterm birth: recent epidemiological and biological data. J Pregnancy 2011;164654.

- Jeffcoat M, Parry S, Sammel M, Clothier B, Catlin A, Macones G. Periodontal infection and preterm birth: successful periodontal therapy reduces the risk of preterm birth. BJOG 2011;118:250–256.
- Kamate WI, Vibhute NA, Baad RK. Estimation of DMFT, salivary Streptococcus mutans count, flow rate, ph, and salivary total calcium content in pregnant and non-pregnant women: a prospective study. J Clin Diag Res 2017;11:147–151.
- Kharkova OA, Grjibovski AM, Krettek A, Nieboer E, Odland JØ. First-trimester smoking cessation in pregnancy did not increase the risk of preeclampsia/eclampsia: a Murmansk county birth registry study. PloS One 2017;12:e0179354.
- 30. Kinney MV, Lawn JE, Howson CP, Belizan J. 15 million preterm births annually: what has changed this year? Reprod Health 2012;9:28.
- Luc E, Coulibaly N, Demoersman J, Boutigny H, Soueidan A. Dental care during pregnancy. Schweizer Monatsschrift Fur Zahnmedizin 2012;122: 1047–1063.
- 32. Markou E, Eleana B, Lazaros T, Antonios K. The influence of sex steroid hormones on gingiva of women. Open Dent J 2009;3:114–119.
- Moimaz SA, Rocha NB, Garbin AJ, Garbin CA, Saliba O. Influence of oral health on quality of life in pregnant women. Acta Odontol Latinoam 2016;29:186–193
- Nabet C, Lelong N, Colombier ML, Sixou M, Musset AM, Goffinet F, et al. Maternal periodontitis and the causes of preterm birth: the case-control Epipap study. J Clin Periodontol 2010;37:37–45.
- 35. Nuriel-Ohayon M, Neuman H, Koren O. Microbial changes during pregnancy, birth, and infancy. Frontiers Microbiol 2016;7:1031.
- Rakoto-Alson S, Tenenbaum H, Davideau JL. Periodontal diseases, preterm births, and low birth weight: findings from a homogeneous cohort of women in Madagascar. J Periodontol 2010;81:205–213.
- 37. Sanz M, Beighton D, Curtis MA, Cury JA, Dige I, Dommisch H, et al. Role of microbial biofilms in the maintenance of oral health and in the development of dental caries and periodontal diseases. Consensus report of group 1 of the Joint EFP/ORCA workshop on the boundaries between caries and periodontal diseases. J Clin Periodontol 2017;44:5–11.
- Sharif S, Saddki N, Yusoff A. Knowledge and attitude of medical nurses toward oral health and oral health care of pregnant women. Malays J Med Sci 2016;23:63–71.
- Singhal A, Chattopadhyay A, Garcia AI, Adams AB, Cheng D. Disparities in unmet dental need and dental care received by pregnant women in Maryland. Matern Child Health J 2014;18:1658–1666.
- Sorrell JT, McNeil DW, Gochenour LL, Jackson CR. Evidence-based patient education: knowledge transfer to endodontic patients. J Dent Educ 2009;73:1293–1305.
- 41. Timothé P, Eke PI, Presson SM, Malvitz DM. Dental care use among pregnant women in the United States reported in 1999 and 2002. Prev Chron Dis 2015:2:A10.
- Vergnes JN, Pastor-Harper D, Constantin D, Bedos C, Kaminski M, Nabet C, et al. Perceived oral health and use of dental services during pregnancy: the MaterniDent study. Santé Publique 2013;25:281–292.
- 43. Wagner Y, Heinrich-Weltzien R. Midwives' oral health recommendations for pregnant women, infants and young children: results of a nationwide survey in Germany. BMC Oral Health 2016;16:36.
- Wooten KT, Lee J, Jared H, Boggess K, Wilder RS. Nurse practitioner's and certified nurse midwives' knowledge, opinions and practice behaviors regarding periodontal disease and adverse pregnancy outcomes. J Dent Hvg 2011:85:122–131.