

Int Poster J Dent Oral Med 2002, Vol 4 No 04, Poster 146

International Poster Journal

# Stepped, Root-Analog Wide Diameter Implants: A New Approach to Surpass Conventional Implant Therapy

**IP** 

Language: English

**Authors:** Dr. Dr. Dieter Haessler<sup>1</sup>, Prof. Dr. Fouad Khoury<sup>2</sup>, Dr. Peter Gehrke<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Jörg Neugebauer<sup>3</sup>, Dr. Josef Adam<sup>4</sup>, Dr. Ulrich Koke<sup>5</sup> <sup>1</sup>Sant-Ambrogio-Ring 39, D-55276 Oppenheim

<sup>2</sup>Zahnklinik Schellenstein, Olsberg

<sup>3</sup>University to Cologne, Germany

<sup>4</sup>Technical University Mannheim, Germany

<sup>5</sup>University Heidelberg, Germany

# Date/Event/Venue:

April 15-17, 1999 8th International Congress on Reconstructive and Preprosthetic Surgery San Diego, USA

## Summary

When osseointegrated implants were first introduced, they were only recommended for the restoration of moderately or severely atrophied edentulous jaws. Disregarding anatomical structures, standard cylinder implants were used, varying in length only. This compromise often caused fracture of prosthetic components, incessant screw loosening and eventually failure of the implant. Today's efforts towards attaining esthetic and functional long-term success of implant-supported prostheses focus not only on prosthetic aspects, but also on preservation or reconstruction of skeletal and soft tissue structures. According to anatomical studies, root-analog implants corresponding in shape, diameter and length to the root geometry of the natural dentition are consistent with the anatomy of the maxilla and mandible. The earliest possible placement of an anatomically shaped implant prevents the atrophy of the alveolar process and maintains gingival height for better soft tissue esthetics.

## An anatomic implant diameter is important for:

- Functional load distribution
- Prosthetic and biomechanical stability
- Higher degree of implant-to-bone contact
- Correct soft tissue adaptation
- Reconstruction of an anatomic emergence profile

When small diameter implants are used due to narrow ridge dimension, the finished restoration will be significantly larger than the implant. To achieve normal restorative dimensions the crown must be ridge-lapped which results in limited hygiene possibilities and stress on the implant and abutment screw. Whenever it is possible to match implant diameter to the size of the replaced tooth, a smoother and more natural emergence profile results.

The availability of an implant diameter according to root diameter, and the earliest possible implant placement post-extraction are important parameters for a successful esthetic and functional result. Clinical cases will underline the benefits of root-analog wide diameter implants such as: increased prosthetic and biomechanical stability, reduced screw stress, higher degree of implant-to-bone contact, taking full advantage of remaining bone under the maxillary sinus in order that surgical procedures can be reduced, improved emergence profile and avoidance of ridge-lap designs.

## Material and method



Investigation of the biomechanical parameters utilizing the Finite-Element-Program Ansys 5.0 for two and three dimensional simulations. A model of the jaws with about 8000 elements and 27.000 knots with simulation of the muscle retention.

Elastic module (N/mm<sup>2</sup>)

Cortical bone	6.000
Spongy bone	800
Titanium	100.000
Crown and bridde work	200.000

# **Functional load distribution**

#### Influence of implant diameter on load distribution at implant-abutment connection



of implant-abutment connection



3.8 with equal occlusal load

Influence of implant diameter on load distribution at peri-implant bone interface



2D-FEM-analysis of micromechanic interface 3D-FEM analysis to investigate the load distribution in the peri-implant bone



The load on top of the implant is reduced by The load of the peri-implant bone is reduced 83% for a D 6.5 Implant in comparison to D by 60% for a D 6.5 Implant in comparision to a D 3.8 by equal occlusal load

#### Reconstruction of an anatomic emergence profile



Achieving an individual wide platform in the anterior by selection of a stepped cylinder implant



Optimal load distribution due to stable internal abutment connection



Various prosthetic options on customized abutments allow an individual prosthetic procedure

Easy hygiene due to natural emergence profile by root-analog implant selection with diameter 6.5 mm



Complete restoration of soft tissue for a natural crown profile



loading: Perfect osseointegration even with single posterior crowns

## Discussion

The availability of tooth analog implant diameters for the reconstruction of molars, premolars, canines, lateral and central incisors expands treatment options and enhances the therapeutic outcome. An ideal implant placement according to anatomic considerations is achievable because of the flared root-analog stepped implant design, without risk of damage of adjacent anatomical structures. The wide prosthetic platform of root-analog implants provides a natural emergence- and submergence profile. The longterm stability of the soft tissue guarantees a healthy and functional treatment outcome.

An internal implant-abutment connection with a long guidance depth and strong anti-rotation device secures the passive fit of the abutment especially under horizontal load. The passive retention of the abutment reduces the tensile forces on the fastening screws. Prosthetic complications like screw loosening and abutement fractures are prevented in high load areas of the posterior region of the mandible and maxilla.

#### Literature

- Richter, E.-J.: Die Verbundbrücke zwischen Zahn und Implantat: Ergebnisse experimenteller und klinischer Untersuchungen Habilitationsschrift, Aachen, 1992
- Weinberg, L.: The Biomechanics of Force Distribution in Implant-Supported Prostheses. INT J ORAL MAXILLOFAC IMPLANTS 1993;8:19-31
- Cavicchia, F., Bravi, F.: Free-Standing vs Tooth-Connected Implant Supported Fixed Partial Restorations: A Comparative Retrospective Clinical Study of the Prosthetic Results. INT J ORAL MAXILLOFAC IMPLANTS 1994;9:711-718
- Rangert, B., Krogh, P., Langer, B., Van Roekel, N.: Bending Overload and Implant Fracture: A Retrospective Clinical Analysis. INT J ORAL MAXILLOFAC IMPLANTS 1995;10:326-334
- Möllersten, L., Lockowandt P., Lindén L.-A.: Comparison of strength and failure mode of seven implant systems: An in vitro test. The Journal Of Prosthetic Dentistry, Vol.78, No.6, 1997, 582-591

This Poster was submitted by Dr. Dr. Dieter Haessler.

**Correspondence address:** Dr. Dr. Dieter Haessler Sant-Ambrogio-Ring 39

D-55276 Oppenheim

#### **Poster Faksimile:**

