

International Poster Journal

Treatment of the Edentulous Mandible with Immediatly Loaded Implants

Language: English

Authors: Dr. Georg Bayer¹, Dr. Dr. Paul Becker², ZTM Kurt Beck², PD Dr. Andrea Behneke³, Prof. Dr. Nikolaus Behneke³, Prof. Dr. Bernd d'Hoedt³, Dr. Günther Dhom⁴, Dr. Klaus Lotzkat⁵, Dr. Mathias Plöger⁶, Dr. Uli Koke⁷, Dr. Helmut Steveling⁷, Prof. Dr. Helmut Lindorf⁸, Dr. Ady Palti⁹, Dr. Peter Brabant¹⁰, DDS Stephen Wallace¹¹

¹Landsberg, Germany, ²Neunkirchen, Germany, ³Mainz, Germany, ⁴Ludwigshafen, Germany, ⁵Hannover, Germany, ⁶Detmold, Germany, ⁷Heidelberg, Germany, ⁸Nürnberg, Germany, ⁹Kraichtal, Germany, ¹⁰Waregem, Belgium, ¹¹New York, USA,

Date/Event/Venue:

April 15-17, 1999 8th International Congress on Reconstructive and Preprosthetic Surgery San Diego, USA

Implant prosthetic planning for immediatly loaded implants



immediate loading. Flap preparation for interforaminal placement of four implants.

Fig. 1: Trapezoid position of four implants for Fig. 2: Most distal position for ideal anteriorposterior load distribution with nerve protection.



Fig. 3: FRIALOC® implants with diameter D 3.5 / D 4.0 and length L 10, L 13 and L 15.

Implant site preparation for D3.5 and D4.0 implants



Fig. 4: Pilot drill D 2.0 Initial preparation with pilot drill D2 of the IMZ®-TwinPlus dense bone with drill D 3.3 for alveolar crest and bone or FRIALIT®-2 Implant System.

Drill D 3.0

Final preparation in bone density D II - D III with drill D 3.0 for D 3.5 mm implants.

Fig. 5: Drill D 3.3

Final preparation in average D 4.0 mm implants. The same drill is used in dense bone for the enlargement of the receptor site for D 3.5 implants.

In cortical bone D I an enlarge-ment with a drill D 3.6 guaran-tees an atraumatic placement of D

Drill D 3.6

4.0 implants.

Fig. 6: Sequence of the drills according to the shape of the quality.

Sterile packaging



Fig. 7: The implants are held by a silicone cap in the inner vial of the sterile packing.



Fig. 8: The placement instrument is attached directly to the sterile packaging.

Implant placement



Fig. 9: The placement of the implant is possible by handpiece or by ratchet. Irrigation with saline solution facilitates the implant placement especially in dense bone. Optimal primary stability is achieved with a torque of at least 45 Ncm. Fig. 10: After final placement, the placement screws have to be removed.

Impression taking



Fig. 11: Placement of impression posts directly after implant placement.



Fig. 12: Repositioning of impression posts with implant analog.

Laboratory procedure



Fig. 13: Fixation of bar copings and bar with Fig. 14: Utilizing soldering analogs acrylic for soldering on master cast. guarantees a passive fit of the fine



Fig. 14: Utilizing soldering analogs guarantees a passive fit of the final bar restoration. Polishing is the final step of the bar fabrication.

Final reconstruction



.....

Fig. 15: Try-in of final bar restoration one day after surgery.

Fig. 16: Five months after prosthetic loading with overdenture.

......

.

Patient satisfaction level before and after treatment

_

	Are you satisfied with your denture in specific?					
	very satisfied	satisfied	average	unsatisfied	very unsatisfied	
Before implantation	5%	23%	14%	18%	41%	
Recall	86%	14%	0%	0%	0%	
	Satisfaction	Satisfaction of the appearance of your denture?				
	very good	good	average	bad	very bad	
Before implantation	5%	50%	23%	9%	13%	
Recall	64%	28%	8%	0%	0%	
	How is the COMFORT of the denture?					
Before implantation	0%	18%	9%	28%	45%	
Recall	71%	29%	0%	0%	0%	
How well can you SPEAK with your denture?						
Before implantation	18%	45%	23%	5%	9%	
Recall	64%	36%	0%	0%	0%	
	How well can you CHEW with your denture?					
	very good	good	average	bad	very bad	
Before implantation	0%	23%	9%	18%	50%	
Recall	62%	38%	0%	0%	0%	
	How often do you WEAR the denture?					
	very often	often	average	rare	very rare	
Before implantation	68%	9%	9%	0%	14%	
Recall	93%	7%	0%	0%	0%	
	Are you comfortable with your denture in social situations?					
	YES		NO			
Before implantation	67%		33%			
Recall	100%		0%			
	Would you have this treatment again?					
Recall	100%		0%			
Would you suggest this treatment to your friends?						
Recall	100%		0%			
Oth International Congr	and an Deconstruct	tive and Drong	acthotic Cura		1000 Can Diago	

8th International Congress on Reconstructive and Preprosthetic Surgery, April 15-17, 1999, San Diego, USA

Discussion

118 implants were placed in the edentulous mandible of 28 patients during the last 10 months. All patients were treated with a bar restoration or a cast superstructure immediately after surgery. One patient was not treated with a bar restoration immediately after implant placement because of inadequate primary implant stability. After three months of healing one implant showed no osseointegration. Primary stability was observed in all cases when the surgical protocol was adapted to the different bone qualities. For an improved functional rehabilitation, six patients were restored with five implants supporting a screw-retained bridge with acrylic teeth. To adapt the prosthetic load, the patients were restored to first molar occlusion. A well organized presurgical treatment sequence is necessary for implant placement and impressions in one session. The complex

treatment within 24 hours requires careful guidance of the patient. The time effective treatment with less surgical and prosthetic complications is especially the elderly patient. Immediate loading of implants offers a new opportunity for the therapy of edentulous patients with implant supported superstructures in carefully selected indications with a strict protocol.

Literature

- Ledermann PD. Die neue Ledermannschraube. Die Quintessenz 5/1988;1-17
- Tarnow DP, Emtiaz S, Classi A. Immediate loading of threated implants at stage 1 surgery in edentulous arches: Ten
- consecutive case reports with 1- to 5-year data. Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants 1997; 12:319-324
- Chiapasco M, Gatti C, Rossi E, Haefliger W, Markwalder TH. Implant-retained mandibular overdentures with immediate loading. A retrospective multicenter study on 226 consecutive cases. Clin Oral Implants Res 1997; 8(1):48-57.

This poster was submitted by Dr. Jörg Neugebauer.

Correspondence address:

Dr. Jörg Neugebauer Medical Center University to Cologne Clinic and Teaching Hospital for Dental Surgery and for Oral-, Maxillo and Plastic Facial Surgery Kerpener Strasse 32 D-50937 Köln Germany

Poster Faksimile:

