

Review on the Role of *IRF6* in the Pathogenesis of Non-syndromic Orofacial Clefts

Si Di ZHANG¹, Yue YOU¹, Mei Lin YAO¹, Bing SHI¹, Zhong Lin JIA¹

Non-syndromic orofacial clefts (NSOCs) are the most common craniofacial malformation. In the complex aetiology and pathogenesis of NSOCs, genetic factors play a crucial role and IRF6, located at chromosome 1q32.2, is the best documented NSOC susceptibility gene. IRF6 is a key factor in oral maxillofacial development and known to contribute the most in NSOCs. It is essential to conduct a complete review of the existing results on IRF6 to further understand its role in the pathogenesis of NSOCs. Thus, the present authors summarised the research progress on the mechanism of IRF6 in NSOCs from both genetic and functional perspectives in this review. **Keywords:** IRF6, genetics, non-syndromic orofacial cleft (NSOC) Chin J Dent Res 2024;27(1):29–38; doi: 10.3290/j.cjdr.b5128515

Non-syndromic orofacial clefts (NSOCs) have a global prevalence of around 1/700 and are the most common craniofacial malformation. The aetiology and pathogenesis are very complex, including genetic factors, environmental factors and their interaction, with genetic factors playing a particularly crucial role¹. Syndromic orofacial clefts (SOCs) are usually monogenic or oligogenic disease with the characteristics of pedigree inheritance. In contrast, the genetic aspect of NSOCs presents polygenic characteristics.2 (2 in superscript) Some of the genes may play a major role, whereas most play a minor role, resulting in the occurrence of NSOCs after accumulating to a certain extent. The aetiology of NSOCs has been investigated using a variety of methods, including linkage analysis, candidate gene association studies, cytogenetics, animal models and expression studies. Since it was first found to be associated with NSOC in 2004², *IRF6* has been recognised as the most contributing and well-documented of dozens of NSOC susceptibility genes/loci identified thus far.

As the encoding gene of interferon regulatory factor (IRF) 6, *IRF6* is located at chromosome 1q32.2 (Fig 1). It first attracted attention in orofacial cleft studies because it was found to be the major pathogenic gene of Van der Woude syndrome (VWS; OMIM 119300), the most common form of SOC characterised by cleft lip and palate and lip pits.² This research also demonstrated the involvement of *IRF6* in orofacial development.² At present, aetiological *IRF6* variants have been found in at least 70% of patients with VWS.³ Hypotheses and studies on the association between *IRF6* and NSOCs were thus initiated, and remarkable progress has been made.

Not only is *IRF6* an important factor for craniofacial development⁴, but it has also been suggested that it might even be associated with the severity of orofacial clefts⁵, hence the critical importance of understanding of the existing research on *IRF6* to gain a deeper under-

¹ State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases & National Clinical Research Center for Oral Diseases & Department of cleft lip and palate, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, Chengdu, P.R. China.

Corresponding author: Dr Zhong Lin JIA, State Key Laboratory of Oral Diseases, West China Hospital of Stomatology, Sichuan University, No.14, 3rd Section, Renmin Nan Road, Chengdu 610041, P.R. China. Tel: 86-28-85503462. Email: zhonglinjia@sina.com

This project was supported by the major frontier issues of the National Science Funds of China (no. 81271118 and no. 81600849).



Fig 1 Diagram of *IRF6* gene. Yellow indicates coding regions and purple indicates untranslated regions **(a)**. DNABD, DNA-binding domain; PBD, protein-binding domain **(b)**.

standing of its function in the mechanism of NSOC. Therefore, the present authors review the research progress of *IRF6* in the pathogenesis of NSOC in genetic and functional studies.

Genetic studies

Genetic studies have identified many susceptibility variants in the *IRF6* gene that might increase the risk of NSOCs under certain environmental conditions, but the potential influence of these variants on different populations and subtypes leads to a significant difference in their association with NSOCs. In this section, we will review related genetic studies according to the progress of research methods at different stages.

Linkage analysis

Linkage analysis maps susceptible genes to specific genomic regions by using the relationship between genetic markers (known locations) carried by family members and genetic disease, since susceptible genes associated with the disease are very likely to be linked to genetic markers.⁶

Zucchero et al² proposed that there is a significant association between *IRF6* and the risk of non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate (NSCL/P). They found that single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) rs2235371 was significantly associated with the risk of non-syndromic cleft lip and palate (NSCLP) in Asian and South American populations.² Located in exon 7 of the *IRF6* gene, rs2235371 is involved in encoding valine or isoleucine at the position 274 amino acid (V274I) in the conserved protein binding domain of IRF6 protein. In 2005, a linkage disequilibrium between rs2013162 and rs2235375 and NSCL/P was found in an Italian population, confirming the contribution of *IRF6* to the aetiology of NSCL/P in the southern European population for the first time⁷, and later in the white population.⁸ In 2007, Jakobsen et al⁹ found a 6.5 MB linkage region in the vicinity of IRF6 within 1q32.1 and q32.2, which may contain genes or non-coding region elements that regulate *IRF6* and increase the risk of NSCL/P.

Due to locus heterogeneity and limitations in the size and number of large multiple families (two or more family members with NSOC), the lack of repeated studies on linkage analysis makes it difficult to achieve genome-wide significant differences in the results of individual studies. Marazita et al¹⁰ conducted a metaanalysis of previous genome-wide linkage studies and found 16 significant linkage regions including 1q32. A subsequent family study identified six chromosomal regions associated with NSOC, of which *IRF6* or its adjacent SNPs were the most significant.¹¹ Studies conducted on different populations confirmed the contribution of *IRF6* to the aetiology of NSCL/P, suggesting that further study is worthwhile.

Candidate gene association studies

Incorporating a large number of sporadic cases without relying on large multiple families, candidate gene association studies were conducted to determine whether the candidate gene was associated with NSOC by comparing the allele frequency differences between NSOC and control samples.¹² Considering that the selection of candidate genes is the premise of association study, pathogenic genes of SOC and genes related to cranial and maxillofacial developmental signalling pathways were frequently used as sources for screening candidate genes of NSOC.

IRF6 initially attracted attention in 2004 as the main pathogenic gene of VWS.2 Subsequent studies explored the association between IRF6 and NSOC and obtained remarkable results. The first polymorphism found to be associated with NSOC in *IRF6* was rs2235371 and the association was then confirmed in subsequent association studies carried out on different populations.^{8,13,14} It was also the first polymorphism that was significantly associated with NSCL/P in Asian and American Indian populations^{15,16} and complete left NSCL/P in the Brazilian Caucasian population.¹⁷ In 2008, Suazo et al¹⁸ found a linkage imbalance between SNPs rs2235371, rs764093, rs2236909, rs2235375 and NSCL/P in the Chilean population. The rs2235371 CT and CT/TTP genotypes were associated with a significant reduction in risk.¹⁹ Larrabee et al²⁰ proposed that rs2235371 may play a role in the pathogenesis of NSOC by affecting the function of IRF6 protein, and confirmed this association was caused by common rather than rare variants; however, the SNP characteristics of rs2235371 and its effect on protein function still need further exploration, and no association was found between rs642961 and NSOC.²⁰

Located in an enhancer of approximately 10,000 base pairs upstream of *IRF6*, rs642961 (G > A) may interfere with *IRF6* expression by disrupting the binding site of AP-2alpha gene which is usually the enhancer of *IRF6*. Rahimov et al²¹ found the correlation between this SNP and NSOC in a European population first, and the association was more significant in non-syndromic cleft lip only (NSCLO). Brito et al²² confirmed that rs642961 was weakly associated with NSCLO in a Brazilian population, but found no association between rs642961 and *IRF6* transcription level in orbicularis oris muscle mesenchymal stem cells (MSCs), suggesting that it may function during a specific period of embryogenesis.

Some studies proposed that A allele at rs642961 was associated with NSCL/P.2,23 Pan et al19 found that the AG and AG/AA genotypes of rs642961 were associated with increased risk of NSOC, especially NSCL/P and NSCLP. These findings require further confirmation in subsequent studies; however, studies of different populations or even the same ethnic population may achieve the opposite result. A population study demonstrated a lack of association between the A allele of rs642961 and Swedish NSCLO subset²⁴; however, another study suggested that they were associated with NSOC in a Brazilian population and that the G/A haplotype increased the risk for both children and mothers.¹⁶ The complexity of the ethnic mix of the Brazilian population appears to be an important confounding factor for this difference.

Located 27 bp downstream of exon 6 of *IRF6*, rs2235375 may alter the splicing process with functional annotations, suggesting that it is associated with the decrease in *IRF6* expression. It was positively correlated with NSCL/P in Italy⁶, Belgium¹⁴, China²⁵, Norway²⁶, Chile¹⁸ and Brazil.²⁷ C allele at rs2235375 was associated with NSCL/P risk in the Chilean population, and its biological role at the level of craniofacial development needs further investigation²⁸; however, in a study of a south Indian population, rs2235375 was associated with an increased risk of non-syndromic cleft palate only (NSCPO) rather than NSCL/P.²⁹

The association between NSCL/P and rs2013162 (Ser153Ser) (which is a silent variant located in exon 5 of *IRF6*) was revealed in Belgian and Italian popula-

tions, respectively, in 2005.¹⁴ Xu et al³⁰ showed that both rs2013162 and rs2235371 were closely associated with an increased risk of NSCL/P in northeast China; however, neither Birnbaum et al²³ nor Huang et al²⁵ found a significant association between rs2013162 and NSOC, which may be mainly related to population heterogeneity.

Studies on some susceptibility SNPs are limited and the results are controversial, indicating that more validation studies on different population samples are needed. Srichomthong et al³¹ found that IRF6 G820A was significantly associated with NSCL/P in a Thai population and was responsible for 16.7% of the genetic contribution to NSCL/P. Tang et al³² confirmed that A allele at G820A may increase the risk of NSCPO in China, and proposed the possibility of linkage disequilibrium between rs2235371 and other pathogenic variants. In 2009, an association study conducted in western China suggested that rs2235373, rs4844880 and rs2073485 were significantly associated with NSCL/P, whereas rs599021 was associated with NSCPO.³³ These SNPs may be directly involved in transcription or indirectly involved in the replication and transcription of IRF6 gene through regulatory elements, affecting protein functions and thus regulating oral and maxillofacial development.³³ It is also interesting that there was a gene-environment interaction between rs2235373 GG genotype and maternal history of abortion. Although rs590223 (A > G) regulates the transcription level of IRF6in hepatocytes in vivo³⁴, its association with NSOC is still controversial.^{35,36} In the same year, Nikopensius et al37 conducted a case-control association study on NSCPO in a northeastern European population and found rs17389541, located about 8kb upstream of IRF6, was significantly associated with NSCPO susceptibility.

Several candidate gene association studies have demonstrated that *IRF6* interacts with some genes in the mechanism of NSCLP. A gene–gene interaction analysis in 2013 indicated that the combination of rs2073485, rs2235371 or rs2236909 in *IRF6* and rs17176643 in *PAX9* may increase the risk of NSCL/P.³⁸

Some studies combined linkage analyses with candidate gene association studies. Park et al¹³ considered the genotype and double risk of specific SNPs in *IRF6* first and identified its association with increased risk of NSCL/P in Asian trios. Diercks et al³⁹ first demonstrated a strong association between rs2235371, rs1856161, rs2235377 and NSOC in a Honduran population which was enhanced in NSCL/P. Rahimov et al²¹ proposed that rs2235371 was not directly related to NSOC, and that there might be pathogenic variants of *IRF6* regulatory element in the region of strong linkage disequilibrium with rs2235371. The contribution of functional variant rs642961 to the pathogenesis of NSCLO was about 18%.²¹ Blanton et al⁴⁰ conducted linkage analysis and association studies in Hispanic and non-Hispanic white NSOC families, respectively. They found that the association between rs2235371 and NSOC was more significant in non-Hispanic white single families, whereas the association between rs642961 and NSOC was not confirmed.⁴⁰ Jugessur et al²⁶ confirmed that rs2235371 and rs2013162 were associated with NSOC, which has not been widely confirmed.^{24,41} Craniofacial measurements showed that rs2235371, rs2013162 or other pathogenic variants within the linkage disequilibrium region played an important role in the variation of nasolabial soft tissue morphology in a normal range in an East Asian population.⁴²

Although linkage analyses and candidate gene association studies are increasingly effective in identifying common loci and speculating genes involved in complex traits, it is difficult to identify specific pathogenic variants. Convincing statistical evidence and functional data are needed to prove that a specific variant in a linkage disequilibrium region containing many strongly correlated SNPs is a pathogenic mutation.

Genome-wide association study (GWAS)

The results of genetic studies of NSOC in the last 10 years varied widely. Complex heterogeneity and confounding factors make linkage analysis and association studies difficult to replicate. The extensive development of the genome-wide association study (GWAS) has brought new breakthroughs to the genetic research of NSOC.

The GWAS can be used for large-scale groups. By selecting genetic markers at the whole genome level, the GWAS compares the differences of SNP allele frequency between NSOC samples and controls, using genetic analysis to identify NSOC-associated SNPs and susceptibility genes by location, linkage disequilibrium and bioinformatics functional annotation.43 Compared with linkage analysis, the GWAS has lower requirements for research samples and does not require large multiple family samples. Compared with candidate gene association studies, which rely more on the presetting of susceptible genes and include a few hundred SNPs at most, GWAS can screen out new susceptible genes and chromosomal regions in the whole genome without prior construction of a hypothesis.⁴⁴ Over the past decade, GWAS and correlative meta-analysis have reported more than 40 NSOC susceptibility genes, far surpassing traditional family linkage analyses and candidate gene association studies, and furtherly identified *IRF6* as a candidate gene for the pathogenesis of NSOC.⁴⁵

In 2010, Beaty et al⁴⁶ conducted a GWAS in Asian and European NSOC trios and found four SNPs: rs2013162, rs2073485, rs861020 and rs10863790, which reached genome-wide significance. The first GWAS in a Chinese population was conducted in 2015 and confirmed that 1q32.2 was associated with NSCL/P.¹⁵ CCCTC binding factor (CTCF) chromatin interaction analysis revealed the interaction signal between the regulatory region containing rs2235371 and DNA sequence in multiple cell lines, suggesting that rs2235371 may be involved in chromatin activity. Thus, the role of rs2235371 may be complex and more studies are needed to elucidate its underlying mechanisms.

However, GWAS has limitations: it focuses mainly on common variants and does not involve rare variants. Compared to common variants that have a very limited effect on disease development, rare variants may have a greater effect on the severity and earlier onset of NSOC.^{47,48} On the other hand, since SNPS are mainly selected from HapMap data and usually influenced by strong linkage disequilibrium, the candidate genes/loci reported by the GWAS may be different from the actual situation. Therefore, the susceptible regions identified by the GWAS need to be located more accurately.

Research progress in the post-GWAS era

It is gradually realised that known genetic susceptibility genes and loci can only explain a small part of the heritability of NSOC since the complexity and high genetic heterogeneity of NSOC and the limited recognition ability of GWAS. There may be undiscovered genetic factors associated with NSOC in the *IRF6* region, such as rare variants, gene–environment interactions and epigenetic inheritance, which may play a more important role in the development of NSOC.⁴⁹ Advances in sequencing technology have resolved this issue.

First-generation sequencing, also known as Sanger sequencing, was developed from the dideoxy terminal termination method and is the gold standard for obtaining nucleic acid sequence information at present; however, it is difficult to carry out on a large scale due to its high operating costs. Next-generation sequencing enables a comprehensive analysis of the genome with the ability to perform massively parallel sequencing at a faster speed and lower cost. Compared with whole genome sequencing, whole exome sequencing (WES) and target region sequencing (TRS) have been more widely used in genetic studies of NSOC due to their economy and efficiency. Exons and untranslated regions in the human genome only account for 1% to 2% of total sequences, containing up to 85% of disease-related variants. WES screens for pathogenic variants in coding regions that may affect the function of protein products, representing a new breakthrough in the genetic aetiology of NSOC. As it is more efficient, comprehensive and specific than GWAS, WES plays an increasingly important role in the study of the aetiology of genetic diseases with the development of cheap sequencing technology. The combination of WES and GWAS helps to explore the aetiology of NSOC further.

In 2008, Pegelow et al⁵⁰ sequenced IRF6 exons in Swedish multiple NSCL/P families and no diseaserelated mutation was detected. In 2018, Zhao et al⁵¹ conducted a WES on a Han NSCLP patient and identified a new rare mutation of *IRF6* (c.26G > A; p.Arg9Gln) that affected the structure of IRF6 to some extent by causing residue changes. A rare synonymous mutation (p.Ser307Ser; g.209963979, G>A; c.921C>T) was identified as a possible aetiology of NSCL/P in a WES in 2020.52 This mutation is located at exon 7 and may affect the binding of external splicing silencing element to the main splicing regulator. A new IRF6 pathogenic mutation (c.961C > T; p.Val321Met) was detected in a Chinese Han NSCLP family. As a conserved codon in many species, it also caused changes in residues and altered the structure of IRF6 to some extent.⁵³

TRS, also known as targeted sequencing, provides more comprehensive coverage of targeted regions. It is a cost-effective way to obtain comprehensive information outside the coding area. TRS found a laterality difference in *IRF6*: 26 SNPs were associated with the difference between unilateral and bilateral NSCL/P, which is one of the genetic sources of phenotypic heterogeneity of NSOC.⁵⁴ Sequencing of nine exons and untranslated regions at the 5' and 3' ends of *IRF6* in African NSOC patients revealed that 92% of potentially pathogenic exon and splice site mutations occurred in exons 4 and 7.⁵⁵ TRS of Chinese, Philippine, American and European trios revealed a G × G interaction between *IRF6* and *MAFB* polymorphisms, which was most significant in European trios.⁵⁵

The susceptibility regions identified by GWAS can be deeply sequenced to mine rare variants and identify functional variants in coding and non-coding sequences. Although TRS can further supplement genetic information in susceptible regions, genome-wide detection can obtain the most complete genomic information and have the potential to fully reveal the molecular changes of NSOC, which is an ideal state for NSOC genetic research; however, large-scale whole genome sequencing studies of NSOC that have been reported so far are still very limited. Identified variants are believed to be only a part of the overall heritability of NSOC and it is thought that there are other common or rare variants in the *IRF6* region that have not been discovered yet in relation to maxillofacial development and NSOC aetiology.

One of the strategies of NSOC genetics research in the sequencing era is to effectively identify potential susceptibility sites, clarify the biological mechanisms through functional analysis and verify them in large and independent populations. As sequencing throughput and precision increase and costs decrease, the technology will be used more widely. An important trend in NSOC genetics research in the future may be to use sequencing technology to accurately locate susceptible regions based on further mining GWAS data, detect rare and functional variants more comprehensively and conduct in-depth studies on biological mechanisms.

It is important to note that a study published in 2019 found that infants with NSCL/P had a higher methylation level at *IRF6* promoter regions than controls, suggesting that abnormal methylation of the promoter region may contribute to the development of NSCL/P.⁵⁶

Functional studies

IRF6 contains 10 exons, among which exons 1, 2 and 10 are not involved in protein coding (Fig 1). As a proteincoding gene, the product protein of *IRF6* is one of nine members of the family of interferon regulatory factor (IRF) proteins and has two conserved domains: a highly conserved DNA-binding domain and a less conserved protein-binding domain termed Smad-interferon regulatory factor-binding domain (SMIR), both of which are critical for its function.⁵⁷

IRF6 is involved in the normal development of craniofacial structures by regulating epithelial differentiation and palatal fusion

IRF6 is a key factor in the development of the lip and palate that plays an important role in craniofacial development and participates in the development of skin and external genitalia. Furthermore, as a key determinant in the proliferation-differentiation conversion of keratinocyte, *IRF6* is required for the differentiation of mammalian skin, mammary gland and oral epithelium, and involved in wound healing and migration.

Kondo et al⁴ detected high expression of *IRF6* mRNA in fused palate, tooth bud, hair follicle, genitalia and the

medial edge of skin in mice, and found that the haploid deficiency would impair the normal development of the maxillofacial region and lead to orofacial cleft, confirming that *IRF6* is a key factor in the development of the lip and palate and is also involved in the development of the skin and external genitalia.

In 2006, Richardson et al⁵⁸ mutated Arg84 to cysteine (R84C) and constructed an Irf6^{R84C/R84C} homozygous mutant mouse model that showed postnatal death. There were significant abnormalities in epidermal development in Irf6^{+/R84C} heterozygous mutant mice: a dense basal layer, less differentiation in the expanded basal layer and no granular layer or keratinised layer, indicating excessive epidermal hyperplasia and an abnormal differentiation process that may lead to epithelial adhesions that block the mouth and cause cleft lip and palate. In the same year, Ingraham et al⁵⁹ used a mouse model deficient for Irf6 and observed abnormal skin, limbs and craniofacial morphology (Fig 2). They then conducted a histological and gene expression analysis on deficient mice and found that the main defect of mouse skin was abnormal proliferation and differentiation of keratinocytes, confirming that Irf6 is necessary for regulating keratinocyte proliferation and terminal differentiation.⁵⁹ In vitro culture experiments supported IRF6 as a necessary condition for keratinocyte differentiation and the authors speculated that epidermal adhesion in the oral cavity of mice was due to the absence of normal keratinocytes, whereas skeletal abnormalities were secondary to defective epidermal differentiation.⁶⁰

Thompson et al⁶¹ conducted mouse model studies and proposed a different view, suggesting that IRF6 is involved in regulating bone differentiation and mineralisation during craniofacial bone development. In 2021, Girousi et al⁶² used keratinocytes derived from human skin and oral mucosa to construct IRF6 knockout cell lines. They found that keratinocytes lacking IRF6 have poor cohesion, and most of the differentially expressed proteins may be related to differentiation, intercellular adhesion and immune response.⁶² In 3D skin cultures, loss of IRF6 resulted in severe keratinocyte differentiation defects while cell growth rates remained constant. These results suggested that IRF6 deficiency disrupts epithelial homeostasis by altering the colony morphology, migration pattern and differentiation potential of human keratinocytes.⁶²

Palatal development in vertebrates involves migration of cranial neural crest, fusion of facial processes and extension of cartilaginous framework, while cleft palate is a structural birth defect resulting from palatal dysplasia. *Irf6* is expressed in ectodermal fusions of the upper lip and primary palate in mice and chicks, but only in the secondary palate in developing mice.⁶³ Similar expression patterns also exist in human craniofacial structures. *IRF6* is expressed in bilateral maxillary process chondrocytes and the maxillary processes need to fuse with the lateral nasal process in the centre. Dougherty et al⁶⁴ conducted a detailed analysis of palate development in zebrafish and revealed that *IRF6* is involved in palate morphogenesis by regulating the fusion of facial processes.

Exploration of IRF6's participation mechanism in epithelial differentiation and palate fusion

Given that in vitro and in vivo experiments have confirmed that *IRF6* plays an important role in regulating epithelial differentiation and palatal fusion in craniofacial development, subsequent studies on the molecular mechanism by which IRF6 functions will help to describe and integrate the molecular pathway of lip and palate morphogenesis further.

Kousa et al⁶⁵ constructed a mouse model of Irf6 gene knockout and restored the expression of Irf6 in embryonic basal epithelium. They found that severity of the disease was significantly reduced and the death of mice after birth could be prevented, but the adhesion between the palate and tongue could not be saved completely, suggesting the importance of cell-independent *Irf6* expression in peritum.⁶⁵ In the same year, another study by Kousa et al⁶⁶ confirmed the interaction of *IRF6* and SPRY4 signals in mouse peridermal development. Through microarray analysis and in vivo transplantation, de la Garza et al⁶⁷ proposed that the encoding gene of Grhl3 is the direct effector of Irf6 in percutaneous differentiation. In addition, IRF6 protein interacts directly with NME1 and NME2 to regulate the availability or localisation of the NME1/2 complex and the dynamic behaviour of the epithelia during lip and palate development. Missense mutations in IRF6 or NME can lead to cleft lip and palate by damaging the ability of IRF6 to bind to NME protein 66.68 Ferretti et al⁶⁹ suggested that IRF6 is involved in the conserved PBX-WnT-P63-IRF6 regulatory pathway in mammals that controls facial morphogenesis by promoting epithelial apoptosis. In addition, the integration of IRF6 and Jagged2 signalling is essential for controlling palatal adhesion and fusion competence.⁷⁰

Palatal organ culture experiments showed that *IRF6* was involved in the regulation of *TGF* β 3 on epithelial mesenchymal transformation and palatal fusion during embryonic palatal development.⁷¹ This result supported the role of *IRF6* in the transforming growth



Fig 2 Function of *IRF6* in the pathogenesis of orofacial cleft.

factor- β (TGF- β) signalling pathway, a fundamental developmental pathway.¹² Considering that deletion of Irf6 can lead to cranial fracture and mandibular dysplasia in mice while mutations of Twist1 gene can lead to cranial fracture, mandibular dysplasia and cleft palate, Fakhouri et al⁷² constructed monozygotic and double heterozygotic Irf6 and Twist1 genes (Irf6^{+/-}; Twist1^{+/-}) mouse embryo models, respectively. Homozygous deletion of Irf6 resulted in multiple bone defects in the mandible and limbs of mice; however, no expression of Irf6 was detected in bone tissue, suggesting the existence of intercellular communication. Although monozygous mice were almost normal, some dizygous mice $(Irf6^{+/-}; Twist1^{+/-})$ mice developed severe hypoplasia of the mandible, leading to holoacrania and cleft palate. It is suggested that genes encoding IRF6 and Twist1, two transcription factors essential for craniofacial development, may lead to craniofacial diseases through gene-gene interaction.⁷² A study in 2019 proposed that IRF6 and TAK1 synergistically promote HIPK2 activation and stimulate apoptosis during palatal fusion.⁷³ IRF6 can also regulate the expression of ESRP1, which overlaps in mouse oral-facial skin and zebrafish periderm, nasofrontal ectoderm and oral epithelium, and controls vertebrate midfacial morphogenesis through Irf6-ESRP1/2 regulatory axis.74 Another study in 2020 indicated that SPECC1L cytoskeletal protein may function downstream of IRF6 in palatogenesis.75

In addition, mice carrying both p63 heterozygous deletion and Irf6 knockout mutation R84C showed abnormal ectoderm development, which resulted in cleft palate. In vitro culture of primary keratinocytes from patients with cleft palate and functional studies found that P63 is a key regulatory molecule in palate development and binds to the upstream enhancer element to transactivate IRF6.76,77 Mutations in this enhancer element are associated with increased susceptibility to cleft palate. In mouse and human oral and maxillofacial development, p63 and IRF6 act within a regulatory ring that coordinates epithelial cell proliferation and differentiation during normal palatal development. IRF6 or p63 mutations cause this ring to break, resulting in fissure.^{76,77} On the other hand, Kousa et al⁷⁸ found that IRF6 and AP2A interact to regulate epidermal development, and rs642961 located in the enhancer element interferes with its binding with AP2A protein, affecting epithelial cell development and increasing the risk of NSOC. They proposed that P63 and AP-2a may play a synergistic role in IRF6 regulation.⁷⁸

Conclusions and future research

Numerous genetic and functional studies have provided sufficient evidence for the contribution of *IRF6* in the pathogenesis of NSOC; however, studies have been conducted on different ethnic groups and population stratification has become a major source of confounding factors in genetic studies, leading to possible differences in the results of studies of polymorphisms in different ethnic groups. In addition, although many polymorphisms in *IRF6* were associated with NSOC in genetic studies, it is still uncertain which of them are pathogenic due to the existence of linkage disequilibrium. The inclusion of other risk factors and genes would also be useful for the improvement of disease prediction models and occurrence risk assessment of NSOC.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no conflicts of interest related to this study.

Author contribution

Dr Si Di ZHANG contributed to the literature collection, draft and revision; Dr Yue YOU contributed to the conception and draft; Dr Mei Lin YAO contributed to the draft; Dr Bing SHI contributed to supervision; Dr Zhong Lin JIA contributed to the conception, supervision and manuscript revision. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

(Received Jun 05, 2023; accepted Oct 23, 2023)

References

- 1. Grosen D, Chevrier C, Skytthe A, et al. A cohort study of recurrence patterns among more than 54,000 relatives of oral cleft cases in Denmark: Support for the multifactorial threshold model of inheritance. J Med Genet 2010;47:162–168.
- Zucchero TM, Cooper ME, Maher BS, et al. Interferon regulatory factor 6 (IRF6) gene variants and the risk of isolated cleft lip or palate. N Engl J Med 2004;351:769–780.
- Leslie EJ, Standley J, Compton J, Bale S, Schutte BC, Murray JC. Comparative analysis of IRF6 variants in families with Van der Woude syndrome and popliteal pterygium syndrome using public whole-exome databases. Genet Med 2013;15:338–344.
- Kondo S, Schutte BC, Richardson RJ, et al. Mutations in IRF6 cause Van der Woude and popliteal pterygium syndromes. Nat Genet 2002;32:285–289.
- Kerameddin S, Namipashaki A, Ebrahimi S, Ansari-Pour N. IRF6 is a marker of severity in nonsyndromic cleft lip/palate. J Dent Res 2015;94(9, suppl):226S–232S.
- Barrett JH, Teare MD. Linkage analysis. In Silico Tools for Gene Discovery. Berlin: Springer, 2011:19–33.
- Scapoli L, Palmieri A, Martinelli M, et al. Strong evidence of linkage disequilibrium between polymorphisms at the IRF6 locus and nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate, in an Italian population. Am J Hum Genet 2005;76:180–183.
- Blanton SH, Cortez A, Stal S, Mulliken JB, Finnell RH, Hecht JT. Variation in IRF6 contributes to nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate. Am J Med Genet A 2005;137A:259–262.

- 9. Jakobsen LP, Ullmann R, Kjaer KW, Knudsen MA, Tommerup N, Eiberg H. Suggestive linkage to a neighboring region of IRF6 in a cleft lip and palate multiplex family. Am J Med Genet A 2007;143A:2716–2721.
- Marazita ML, Murray JC, Lidral AC, et al. Meta-analysis of 13 genome scans reveals multiple cleft lip/palate genes with novel loci on 9q21 and 2q32-35. Am J Hum Genet 2004;75:161–173.
- 11. Marazita ML, Lidral AC, Murray JC, et al. Genome scan, finemapping, and candidate gene analysis of non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate reveals phenotype-specific differences in linkage and association results. Hum Hered 2009;68:151–170.
- Ardinger HH, Buetow KH, Bell GI, Bardach J, VanDemark DR, Murray JC. Association of genetic variation of the transforming growth factor-alpha gene with cleft lip and palate. Am J Hum Genet 1989;45:348–353.
- 13. Park JW, McIntosh I, Hetmanski JB, et al. Association between IRF6 and nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in four populations. Genet Med 2007;9:219–227.
- 14. Ghassibé M, Bayet B, Revencu N, et al. Interferon regulatory factor-6: A gene predisposing to isolated cleft lip with or without cleft palate in the Belgian population. Eur J Hum Genet 2005;13:1239–1242.
- 15. Sun Y, Huang Y, Yin A, et al. Genome-wide association study identifies a new susceptibility locus for cleft lip with or without a cleft palate. Nat Commun 2015;6:6414.
- 16. de Souza LT, Kowalski TW, Ferrari J, et al. Study of IRF6 and 8q24 region in non-syndromic oral clefts in the Brazilian population. Oral Dis. 2016;22:241–245.
- 17. Letra A, Fakhouri W, Fonseca RF, et al. Interaction between IRF6 and TGFA genes contribute to the risk of nonsyndromic cleft lip/palate. PLoS One 2012;7:e45441.
- Suazo J, Santos JL, Jara L, Blanco R. Linkage disequilibrium between IRF6 variants and nonsyndromic cleft lip/palate in the Chilean population. Am J Med Genet A 2008;146A:2706– 2708.
- 19. Pan Y, Ma J, Zhang W, et al. IRF6 polymorphisms are associated with nonsyndromic orofacial clefts in a Chinese Han population. Am J Med Genet A 2010 ;152A:2505–2511.
- 20. Larrabee YC, Birkeland AC, Kent DT, et al. Association of common variants, not rare mutations, in IRF6 with non-syndromic clefts in a Honduran population. Laryngoscope 2011;121:1756–1759.
- 21. Rahimov F, Marazita ML, Visel A, et al. Disruption of an AP-2alpha binding site in an IRF6 enhancer is associated with cleft lip. Nat Genet 2008;40:1341–1347.
- 22. Brito LA, Bassi CF, Masotti C, et al. IRF6 is a risk factor for nonsyndromic cleft lip in the Brazilian population. Am J Med Genet A 2012;158A:2170–2175.
- 23. Birnbaum S, Ludwig KU, Reutter H, et al. IRF6 gene variants in Central European patients with non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. Eur J Oral Sci 2009;117:766–769.
- 24. Pegelow M, Koillinen H, Magnusson M, et al. Association and mutation analyses of the IRF6 gene in families with nonsyndromic and syndromic cleft lip and/or cleft palate. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 2014;51:49–55.
- 25. Huang Y, Wu J, Ma J, et al. Association between IRF6 SNPs and oral clefts in West China. J Dent Res 2009;88:715–718.
- 26. Jugessur A, Rahimov F, Lie RT, et al. Genetic variants in IRF6 and the risk of facial clefts: Single-marker and haplotypebased analyses in a population-based case-control study of facial clefts in Norway. Genet Epidemiol 2008;32:413–424.
- Ibarra-Arce A, García-Álvarez M, Cortés-González D, et al. IRF6 polymorphisms in Mexican patients with non-syndromic cleft lip. Meta Gene 2015;4:8–16.

- Suazo J, Recabarren AS, Marín N, Blanco R. Association between IRF6 variants and nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in Chile. Reprod Sci 2020;27:1857–1862.
- Gurramkonda VB, Syed AH, Murthy J, Lakkakula BVKS. IRF6 rs2235375 single nucleotide polymorphism is associated with isolated non-syndromic cleft palate but not with cleft lip with or without palate in South Indian population. Braz J Otorhinolaryngol 2018;84:473–477.
- 30. Xu W, Han WT, Lu YP, Feng WH, Dai M. Association of single-nucleotide polymorphisms, rs2235371 and rs2013162, in the IRF6 gene with non-syndromic cleft palate in northeast China. Genet Mol Res 2016;15:(3).
- Srichomthong C, Siriwan P, Shotelersuk V. Significant association between IRF6 820G->A and non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in the Thai population. J Med Genet 2005;42:e46.
- 32. Tang W, Du X, Feng F, et al. Association analysis between the IRF6 G820A polymorphism and nonsyndromic cleft lip and/ or cleft palate in a Chinese population. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 2009;46:89–92.
- Jia ZL, Li Y, Li L, et al. Association among IRF6 polymorphism, environmental factors, and nonsyndromic orofacial clefts in western China. DNA Cell Biol 2009;28:249–257.
- Schadt EE, Molony C, Chudin E, et al. Mapping the genetic architecture of gene expression in human liver. PLoS Biol 2008;6:e107.
- Jagomägi T, Nikopensius T, Krjutskov K, et al. MTHFR and MSX1 contribute to the risk of nonsyndromic cleft lip/palate. Eur J Oral Sci 2010;118:213–220.
- 36. Mostowska A, Hozyasz KK, Wojcicki P, Biedziak B, Paradowska P, Jagodzinski PP. Association between genetic variants of reported candidate genes or regions and risk of cleft lip with or without cleft palate in the Polish population. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2010;88:538–545.
- Nikopensius T, Jagomägi T, Krjutskov K, et al. Genetic variants in COL2A1, COL11A2, and IRF6 contribute risk to nonsyndromic cleft palate. Birth Defects Res A Clin Mol Teratol 2010;88:748–756.
- Song T, Wu D, Wang Y, Li H, Yin N, Zhao Z. SNPs and interaction analyses of IRF6, MSX1 and PAX9 genes in patients with non-syndromic cleft lip with or without palate. Mol Med Rep 2013;8:1228–1234.
- 39. Diercks GR, Karnezis TT, Kent DT, et al. The association between interferon regulatory factor 6 (IRF6) and nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in a Honduran population. Laryngoscope 2009;119:1759–1764.
- Blanton SH, Burt A, Garcia E, Mulliken JB, Stal S, Hecht JT. Ethnic heterogeneity of IRF6 AP-2a binding site promoter SNP association with nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate. Cleft Palate Craniofac J 2010;47:574–577.
- 41. Paranaíba LM, Bufalino A, Martelli-Júnior H, de Barros LM, Graner E, Coletta RD. Lack of association between IRF6 polymorphisms (rs2235371 and rs642961) and non-syndromic cleft lip and/or palate in a Brazilian population. Oral Dis 2010;16:193–197.
- 42. Tomita D, Yamaguchi T, Nakawaki T, et al. Interferon regulatory factor 6 variants affect nasolabial morphology in East Asian populations. Arch Oral Biol 2018;85:142–147.
- 43. Cantor RM, Lange K, Sinsheimer JS. Prioritizing GWAS results: A review of statistical methods and recommendations for their application. Am J Hum Genet 2010;86:6–22.
- 44. Manolio TA, Collins FS, Cox NJ, et al. Finding the missing heritability of complex diseases. Nature 2009;461:747–753.

- Beaty TH, Taub MA, Scott AF, et al. Confirming genes influencing risk to cleft lip with/without cleft palate in a case-parent trio study. Hum Genet 2013;132:771–781.
- 46. Beaty TH, Murray JC, Marazita ML, et al. A genome-wide association study of cleft lip with and without cleft palate identifies risk variants near MAFB and ABCA4. Nat Genet 2010;42:525–529.
- 47. Raychaudhuri S. Mapping rare and common causal alleles for complex human diseases. Cell 2011;147:57–69.
- Zuk O, Hechter E, Sunyaev SR, Lander ES. The mystery of missing heritability: Genetic interactions create phantom heritability. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2012;109:1193–1198.
- 49. Eichler EE, Flint J, Gibson G, et al. Missing heritability and strategies for finding the underlying causes of complex disease. Nat Rev Genet 2010;11:446–450.
- 50. Pegelow M, Peyrard-Janvid M, Zucchelli M, et al. Familial nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate--analysis of the IRF6 gene and clinical phenotypes. Eur J Orthod 2008;30:169–175.
- 51. Zhao H, Zhang M, Zhong W, et al. A novel IRF6 mutation causing non-syndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate in a pedigree. Mutagenesis 2018;33:195–202.
- Sylvester B, Brindopke F, Suzuki A, et al. A synonymous exonic splice silencer variant in IRF6 as a novel and cryptic cause of non-syndromic cleft lip and palate. Genes (Basel) 2020;11:903.
- 53. Wang Y, Ma C, Jiang C, Zhang Y, Wu D. A novel IRF6 variant detected in a family with nonsyndromic cleft lip and palate by whole exome sequencing. J Craniofac Surg 2021;32:265–269.
- Carlson JC, Taub MA, Feingold E, et al. Identifying genetic sources of phenotypic heterogeneity in orofacial clefts by targeted sequencing. Birth Defects Res 2017;109:1030–1038.
- 55. Xiao Y, Taub MA, Ruczinski I, et al. Evidence for SNP-SNP interaction identified through targeted sequencing of cleft case-parent trios. Genet Epidemiol 2017;41:244–250.
- 56. Li Y, Deng Y, Deng C, et al. Association of long interspersed nucleotide element-1 and interferon regulatory factor 6 methylation changes with nonsyndromic cleft lip with or without cleft palate. Oral Dis 2019;25:215–222.
- 57. Eroshkin A, Mushegian A. Conserved transactivation domain shared by interferon regulatory factors and Smad morphogens. J Mol Med (Berl) 1999;77:403–405.
- 58. Richardson RJ, Dixon J, Malhotra S, et al. Irf6 is a key determinant of the keratinocyte proliferation-differentiation switch. Nat Genet 2006;38:1329–1334.
- 59. Ingraham CR, Kinoshita A, Kondo S, et al. Abnormal skin, limb and craniofacial morphogenesis in mice deficient for interferon regulatory factor 6 (Irf6). Nat Genet 2006;38:1335– 1340.
- 60. Biggs LC, Rhea L, Schutte BC, Dunnwald M. Interferon regulatory factor 6 is necessary, but not sufficient, for keratinocyte differentiation. J Invest Dermatol 2012;132:50–58.
- 61. Thompson J, Mendoza F, Tan E, et al. A cleft lip and palate gene, Irf6, is involved in osteoblast differentiation of cranio-facial bone. Dev Dyn 2019;248:221–232.
- 62. Girousi E, Muerner L, Parisi L, et al. Lack of IRF6 disrupts human epithelial homeostasis by altering colony morphology, migration pattern, and differentiation potential of keratinocytes. Front Cell Dev Biol 2021;9:718066.
- 63. Knight AS, Schutte BC, Jiang R, Dixon MJ. Developmental expression analysis of the mouse and chick orthologues of IRF6: The gene mutated in Van der Woude syndrome. Dev Dyn 2006;235:1441–1447.
- 64. Dougherty M, Kamel G, Grimaldi M, et al. Distinct requirements for wnt9a and irf6 in extension and integration mechanisms during zebrafish palate morphogenesis. Development 2013;140:76–81.



- 65. Kousa YA, Moussa D, Schutte BC. IRF6 expression in basal epithelium partially rescues Irf6 knockout mice. Dev Dyn 2017;246:670–681.
- Kousa YA, Roushangar R, Patel N, et al. IRF6 and SPRY4 signaling interact in periderm development. J Dent Res 2017;96:1306–1313.
- 67. de la Garza G, Schleiffarth JR, Dunnwald M, et al. Interferon regulatory factor 6 promotes differentiation of the periderm by activating expression of Grainyhead-like 3. J Invest Dermatol 2013;133:68–77 [erratum 2013;133:859].
- Parada-Sanchez MT, Chu EY, Cox LL, et al. Disrupted IRF6-NME1/2 complexes as a cause of cleft lip/palate. J Dent Res 2017;96:1330–1338.
- 69. Ferretti E, Li B, Zewdu R, et al. A conserved Pbx-Wnt-p63-Irf6 regulatory module controls face morphogenesis by promoting epithelial apoptosis. Dev Cell 2011;21:627–641.
- Richardson RJ, Dixon J, Jiang R, Dixon MJ. Integration of IRF6 and Jagged2 signalling is essential for controlling palatal adhesion and fusion competence. Hum Mol Genet 2009;18:2632-2642.
- 71. Ke CY, Xiao WL, Chen CM, Lo LJ, Wong FH. IRF6 is the mediator of TGF β 3 during regulation of the epithelial mesenchymal transition and palatal fusion. Sci Rep 2015;5:12791.

- Fakhouri WD, Metwalli K, Naji A, et al. Intercellular genetic interaction between Irf6 and Twist1 during craniofacial development. Sci Rep 2017;7:7129.
- 73. Ke CY, Mei HH, Wong FH, Lo LJ. IRF6 and TAK1 coordinately promote the activation of HIPK2 to stimulate apoptosis during palate fusion. Sci Signal 2019;12:eaav7666.
- 74. Carroll SH, Macias Trevino C, Li EB, et al. Irf6An Regulatory axis controls midface morphogenesis in vertebrates. Development 2020;147:dev194498.
- 75. Hall EG, Wenger LW, Wilson NR, et al. SPECC1L regulates palate development downstream of IRF6. Hum Mol Genet 2020;29:845–858.
- Moretti F, Marinari B, Lo Iacono N, et al. A regulatory feedback loop involving p63 and IRF6 links the pathogenesis of 2 genetically different human ectodermal dysplasias. J Clin Invest 2010;120:1570–1577.
- Thomason HA, Zhou H, Kouwenhoven EN, et al. Cooperation between the transcription factors p63 and IRF6 is essential to prevent cleft palate in mice. J Clin Invest 2010;120:1561–1569.
- Kousa YA, Fuller E, Schutte BC. IRF6 and AP2A interaction regulates epidermal development. J Invest Dermatol 2018;138:2578–2588.